The UAF Faculty Senate passed the following at Meeting #194, November 4, 2013:

**MOTION:**

The UAF Faculty Senate moves to reaffirm the Department of Anthropology Unit Criteria.

**EFFECTIVE:**
- Fall 2014
- Upon Chancellor Approval

**RATIONALE:** The Unit Criteria Committee reviewed the unit criteria which were submitted with no changes by the Department of Anthropology. They were found to still be consistent with UAF guidelines.

President, UAF Faculty Senate

**APPROVAL:**

Chancellor's Office

DATE: 4 Nov 2013

**DISAPPROVED:**

Chancellor's Office

DATE: 

**REGULATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT AND EVALUATION OF FACULTY AND DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY UNIT CRITERIA STANDARDS AND INDICES**

THE FOLLOWING IS AN ADAPTATION OF UAF AND REGENTS CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION AND TENURE, SPECIFICALLY DEVELOPED FOR USE IN EVALUATING THE FACULTY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY. ITEMS IN BOLDFACE ITALICS ARE THOSE SPECIFICALLY ADDED OR EMPHASIZED BECAUSE OF THEIR RELEVANCE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY FACULTY, AND BECAUSE THEY ARE ADDITIONS TO AND CLARIFICATION OF UAF REGULATIONS. THESE UNIT CRITERIA ARE FOR USE IN THE ANNUAL EVALUATION OF FACULTY AS WELL.
CHAPTER I

Purview

The University of Alaska Fairbanks document, “Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies,” supplements the Board of Regents (BOR) policies and describes the purpose, conditions, eligibility, and other specifications relating to the evaluation of faculty at the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF). Contained herein are regulations and procedures to guide the evaluation processes and to identify the bodies of review appropriate for the university.

The university, through the UAF Faculty Senate, may change or amend these regulations and procedures from time to time and will provide adequate notice in making changes and amendments.

These regulations shall apply to all of the units within the University of Alaska Fairbanks, except in so far as extant collective bargaining agreements apply otherwise.

The provost is responsible for coordination and implementation of matters relating to procedures stated herein.

CHAPTER II

Initial Appointment of Faculty

A. Criteria for Initial Appointment
Minimum degree, experience and performance requirements are set forth in “UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies,” Chapter IV. Exceptions to these requirements for initial placement in academic rank or special academic rank positions shall be submitted to the chancellor or chancellor’s designee for approval prior to a final selection decision.

B. Academic Titles
Academic titles must reflect the discipline in which the faculty are appointed.

C. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Academic Rank
Deans of schools and colleges, and directors when appropriate, in conjunction with the faculty in a unit, shall observe procedures for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any vacant faculty position. These procedures are set by UAF Human Resources and the Campus Diversity and Compliance (AA/EEO) office and shall provide for participation in hiring by faculty and administrators as a unit.

D. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Special Academic Rank
Deans and/or directors, in conjunction with the faculty in a unit, shall establish procedures for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any faculty positions as they become available. Such procedures shall be consistent with the university’s stated AA/EEO policies and shall provide for participation in hiring by faculty and administrators in the unit.
E. Following the Selection Process
The dean or director shall appoint the new faculty member and advise him/her of the conditions, benefits, and obligations of the position. If the appointment is to be at the professor level, the dean/director must first obtain the concurrence of the chancellor or chancellor’s designee.

F. Letter of Appointment
The initial letter of appointment shall specify the nature of the assignment, the percentage emphasis that is to be placed on each of the parts of the faculty responsibility, mandatory year of tenure review, and any special conditions relating to the appointment.

This letter of appointment establishes the nature of the position and, while the percentage of emphasis for each part may vary with each workload distribution as specified in the annual workload agreement document, the part(s) defining the position may not.

CHAPTER III
Periodic Evaluation of Faculty

A. General Criteria
Criteria as outlined in "UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," Chapter IV, AND DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY UNIT CRITERIA, STANDARDS AND INDICES, evaluators may consider, but shall not be limited to, whichever of the following are appropriate to the faculty member's professional obligation: mastery of subject matter; effectiveness in teaching; achievement in research, scholarly, and creative activity; effectiveness of public service; effectiveness of university service; demonstration of professional development and quality of total contribution to the university.

For purposes of evaluation at UAF, the total contribution to the university and activity in the areas outlined above will be defined by relevant activity and demonstrated competence from the following areas: 1) effectiveness in teaching; 2) achievement in scholarly activity; and 3) effectiveness of service.

Bipartite Faculty
Bipartite faculty are regular academic rank faculty who fill positions that are designated as performing two of the three parts of the university's tripartite responsibility.

The dean or director of the relevant college/school shall determine which of the criteria defined above apply to these faculty.

Bipartite faculty may voluntarily engage in a tripartite function, but they will not be required to do so as a condition for evaluation, promotion, or tenure.

B. Criteria for Instruction
A central function of the university is instruction of students in formal courses and supervised study. Teaching includes those activities directly related to the formal and informal transmission of appropriate skills and knowledge to students. The nature of instruction will vary for each faculty member, depending upon workload distribution and the particular teaching mission of the unit.
Instruction includes actual contact in classroom, correspondence or electronic delivery methods, laboratory or field and preparatory activities, such as preparing for lectures, setting up demonstrations, and preparing for laboratory experiments, as well as individual/independent study, tutorial sessions, evaluations, correcting papers, and determining grades. Other aspects of teaching and instruction extend to undergraduate and graduate academic advising and counseling, training graduate students and serving on their graduate committees, particularly as their major advisor, curriculum development, and academic recruiting and retention activities.

1. **Effectiveness in Teaching**
   Evidence of excellence in teaching may be demonstrated through, but not limited to, evidence of the various characteristics that define effective teachers. Effective teachers
   a. are highly organized, plan carefully, use class time efficiently, have clear objectives, have high expectations for students;
   b. express positive regard for students, develop good rapport with students, show interest/enthusiasm for the subject;
   c. emphasize and encourage student participation, ask questions, frequently monitor student participation for student learning and teacher effectiveness, are sensitive to student diversity;
   d. emphasize regular feedback to students and reward student learning success;
   e. demonstrate content mastery, discuss current information and divergent points of view, relate topics to other disciplines, deliver material at the appropriate level;
   f. regularly develop new courses, workshops and seminars and use a variety of methods of instructional delivery and instructional design;
   g. may receive prizes and awards for excellence in teaching.
   
   h. **CURATORS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA MUSEUM WITH A FACULTY APPOINTMENT IN ANTHROPOLOGY TYPICALLY HAVE A PORTION OF THEIR WORKLOAD ASSIGNED TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY WILL EVALUATE THE CURATOR'S TEACHING RECORD.**

2. **Components of Evaluation**
   Effectiveness in teaching will be evaluated through information on formal and informal teaching, course and curriculum material, recruiting and advising, training/guiding graduate students, etc., provided by:
   a. systematic student ratings, i.e. student opinion of instruction summary forms,
   
   and **at least two of the following:**
   b. narrative self-evaluation,
   c. peer/department chair classroom observation(s),
d. peer/department chair evaluation of course materials.

C. Criteria for Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activity
Inquiry and originality are central functions of a land grant/sea grant/space grant university and all faculty with a research component in their assignment must remain active as scholars. Consequently, faculty are expected to conduct research or engage in other scholarly or creative pursuits that are appropriate to the mission of their unit, and equally important, results of their work must be disseminated through media appropriate to their discipline. Furthermore, it is important to emphasize the distinction between routine production and creative excellence as evaluated by an individual's peers at the University of Alaska and elsewhere.

1. Achievement in Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity
Whatever the contribution, research, scholarly or creative activities must have one or more of the following characteristics:

a. They must occur in a public forum.

b. They must be evaluated by appropriate peers.

c. They must be evaluated by peers external to this institution so as to allow an objective judgment.

d. They must be judged to make a contribution.

2. Components of Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity
Evidence of excellence in research, scholarly, and creative activity may be demonstrated through, but not limited to:

a. Books, reviews, monographs, bulletins, articles, proceedings and other scholarly works published by reputable journals, scholarly presses, and publishing houses that accept works only after rigorous review and approval by peers in the discipline.

b. Competitive grants and contracts to finance the development of ideas, these grants and contracts being subject to rigorous peer review and approval.

c. Presentation of research papers before learned societies that accept papers only after rigorous review and approval by peers.

d. Exhibitions of art work at galleries, selection for these exhibitions being based on rigorous review and approval by juries, recognized artists, or critics.

e. Performances in recitals or productions, selection for these performances being based on stringent auditions and approval by appropriate judges.

f. Editing or refereeing articles or proposals for professional journals or organizations.

g. Scholarly reviews of publications, art works and performance of the candidate.

h. Citations of research in scholarly publications.
i. Published abstracts of research papers.

j. Reprints or quotations of publications, reproductions of art works, and descriptions of interpretations in the performing arts, these materials appearing in reputable works of the discipline.

k. Prizes and awards for excellence of scholarship.

l. Awards of special fellowships for research or artistic activities or selection of tours of duty at special institutes for advanced study.

m. Development of processes or instruments useful in solving problems, such as computer programs and systems for the processing of data, genetic plant and animal material, and where appropriate obtaining patents and/or copyrights for said development.

n. ALL PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS SHALL BE CONSIDERED SIGNIFICANT. THIS INCLUDES NOT ONLY THE WRITING OF JOURNAL ARTICLES AND BOOK CHAPTERS BUT THE EDITING OF BOOK AND JOURNAL VOLUMES.

o. MULTIPLE-AUTHORED, PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS SHALL BE EVALUATED IN TERMS OF THE AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION, TO BE DELINEATED IN THE NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF THE PROMOTION AND TENURE FILE.

p. RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS AS EDITOR OF VOLUMES OF THE APUA (ANTHROPOLOGY PAPERS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA) SHALL BE CONSIDERED COMPARABLE TO RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS AS EDITOR OF OTHER PEER REVIEWED BOOKS AND JOURNAL VOLUMES.

q. CURATORS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA MUSEUM WITH A FACULTY APPOINTMENT IN ANTHROPOLOGY TYPICALLY HAVE A PORTION OF THEIR WORKLOAD ASSIGNED TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT WILL EVALUATE THE CURATOR'S RECORD OF RESEARCH.

D. Criteria for Public and University Service
Public service is intrinsic to the land grant/sea grant/space grant tradition, and is a fundamental part of the university's obligation to the people of its state. In this tradition, faculty providing their professional expertise for the benefit of the university's external constituency, free of charge, is identified as "public service." The tradition of the university itself provides that its faculty assumes a collegial obligation for the internal functioning of the institution; such service is identified as "university service."

1. Public Service
Public service is the application of teaching, research, and other scholarly and creative activity to constituencies outside the University of Alaska Fairbanks. It includes all activities which extend the faculty member's professional, academic, or leadership competence to these constituencies. It can be instructional, collaborative, or consultative in nature and is related to the faculty member's discipline or other publicly recognized expertise. Public service may be systematic
activity that involves planning with clientele and delivery of information on a continuing, programmatic basis. It may also be informal, individual, professional contributions to the community or to one's discipline, or other activities in furtherance of the goals and mission of the university and its units. Such service may occur on a periodic or limited-term basis. Examples include, but are not limited to:

a. Providing information services to adults or youth.

b. Service on or to government or public committees.

c. Service on accrediting bodies.

d. Active participation in professional organizations.

e. Active participation in discipline-orientated service organizations.

f. Consulting.

g. Prizes and awards for excellence in public service.

h. Leadership of or presentations at workshops, conferences, or public meetings.

i. Training and facilitating.

j. Radio and TV programs, newspaper articles and columns, publications, newsletters, films, computer applications, teleconferences and other educational media.

k. Judging and similar educational assistance at science fairs, state fairs, and speech, drama, literary, and similar competitions.

l. **APPLIED ANTHROPOLOGICAL WORK WITH COMMUNITIES AND ORGANIZATIONS.**

2. **University Service**

University service includes those activities involving faculty members in the governance, administration, and other internal affairs of the university, its colleges, schools, and institutes. It includes non-instructional work with students and their organizations. Examples of such activity include, but are not limited to:

a. Service on university, college, school, institute, or departmental committees or governing bodies.

b. Consultative work in support of university functions, such as expert assistance for specific projects.

c. Service as department chair or term-limited and part-time assignment as assistant/associate dean in a college/school.

d. Participation in accreditation reviews.
e. Service on collective bargaining unit committees or elected office.

f. Service in support of student organizations and activities.

g. Academic support services such as library and museum programs.

h. Assisting other faculty or units with curriculum planning and delivery of instruction, such as serving as guest lecturer.

i. Mentoring.

j. Prizes and awards for excellence in university service.

3. Evaluation of Service

Each individual faculty member's proportionate responsibility in service shall be reflected in annual workload agreements. In formulating criteria, standards and indices for evaluation, promotion, and tenure, individual units should include examples of service activities and measures for evaluation appropriate for that unit. Excellence in public and university service may be demonstrated through, e.g., appropriate letters of commendation, recommendation, and/or appreciation, certificates and awards and other public means of recognition for services rendered.


CURATION

CURATORS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA MUSEUM (UAM) CAN HOLD A TENURE-TRACK FACULTY POSITION. RANK AND TENURE ARE HELD WITHIN DEPARTMENTS AT UAF, AND CURATORS ARE THUS TREATED AS JOINT APPOINTMENTS BETWEEN A DEPARTMENT AND UAM. AS IS THE CASE FOR ALL TENURE-TRACK FACULTY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY, CURATOR'S PERFORMANCES ARE EVALUATED ON THE BASIS OF THEIR ACTIVITIES IN TEACHING, RESEARCH AND SERVICE.

1. CURATION INVOLVES THE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF A FORMALLY RECOGNIZED UNIVERSITY COLLECTION THAT EXISTS TO SERVE AS A RESEARCH RESOURCE FOR STUDENTS AND RESEARCHERS AT UNIVERSITY, STATE, NATIONAL, AND INTERNATIONAL LEVELS. EXAMPLES OF CURATORIAL ACTIVITIES INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

a. MAINTAINING, ENHANCING, AND ENLARGING THE COLLECTION (INCLUDES COMPUTERIZATION AND DATABASE DEVELOPMENT, ARCHIVAL UPGRADES, SPECIMEN CONSERVATION AND IDENTIFICATION, AND ADDING SPECIMENS OR OBJECTS TO EXISTING COLLECTION);
CURATORS WILL ENSURE THAT ALL RECORDS AND FIELD NOTES CONCERNING MATERIALS ARE MAINTAINED IN A SECURE FASHION AND MEET DOCUMENTATION, BUDGETARY MANAGEMENT, AND ANNUAL REPORTS.

Collected materials and/or cultural diversity, including responsible for the physical condition and storage of objects/specimens correponding to the collections as a permanent record of historical and cultural value. The collections will develop the collections as a permanent record of historical and cultural value. The collections will include the following:

- Managing research collection relevant to the area of specialization
- Evidence of curatorial ability and a commitment to developing and exhibiting collections
- Retaining university and state and federal laws

2. SPECIFIC CRITERIA FOR CURATORIAL PERFORMANCE:

- Ensuring university compliance with state and federal laws
- Producing curatorial or collections-related publications
- Pursuing funding for collections growth and maintenance
- Educational activities appropriate to the collection
- Working with public program staff to create exhibits and volunteers
- Supervising collections managers, student employees, and volunteers
- Maintaining appropriate permits (as needed for the collection)
- Facilitating collections use through loans, exchanges, and public outreach
- Interacting with state and federal agencies and with the field of curatorial practice

3. ASSISTANT PROFESSIONAL AND CURATOR:

- Ensuring university compliance with state and federal laws
- Producing curatorial or collections-related publications
- Pursuing funding for collections growth and maintenance
- Educational activities appropriate to the collection
- Working with public program staff to create exhibits and volunteers
- Supervising collections managers, student employees, and volunteers
- Maintaining appropriate permits (as needed for the collection)
- Facilitating collections use through loans, exchanges, and public outreach
- Interacting with state and federal agencies and with the field of curatorial practice

4. CURATORIAL PROFESSIONAL:

- Ensuring university compliance with state and federal laws
- Producing curatorial or collections-related publications
- Pursuing funding for collections growth and maintenance
- Educational activities appropriate to the collection
- Working with public program staff to create exhibits and volunteers
- Supervising collections managers, student employees, and volunteers
- Maintaining appropriate permits (as needed for the collection)
- Facilitating collections use through loans, exchanges, and public outreach
- Interacting with state and federal agencies and with the field of curatorial practice
They should be a recognized authority in their field, locally and nationally. The curator should:

A. Activities and Collection-Based Research
   - Support the acquisition of materials for the public.
   - Develop and maintain current accessions and/or research.

B. Research
   - The equivalent of a doctoral dissertation or a scholarly book.
   - The equivalent of a major article or a large complex exhibit.
   - The equivalent of publication of a major article.

C. Collections
   - Develop, maintain, and revise written policies and/or procedures.

D. Documentation Standards
   - Develop and maintain current accessions, deaccession files, and catalogues of objects in their collection.

E. Discipline
   - Maintain current accessions, deaccession files, and catalogues of objects in their collection.
MUST HAVE A RECORD OF SUCCESS IN ACQUIRING EXTERNAL FUNDS FOR THEIR CURATORIAL ACTIVITIES AND COLLECTION-BASED RESEARCH.

3. EVALUATION OF CURATION

A COMMITTEE COMPOSED OF THE TENURED CURATORS AT THE MUSEUM WILL PROVIDE AN EVALUATION TO THE UNIT PEER COMMITTEE. IN FORMULATING CRITERIA, STANDARDS AND INDICES FOR EVALUATION, PROMOTION, AND TENURE, THE MUSEUM SHOULD INCLUDE EXAMPLES OF CURATORIAL ACTIVITIES AND MEASURES FOR EVALUATION APPROPRIATE FOR THAT UNIT. EXCELLENCE IN CURATION MAY BE DEMONSTRATED THROUGH, E.G., APPROPRIATE LETTER OF COMMENDATION, RECOMMENDATION, AND/OR APPRECIATION, CERTIFICATES AND AWARDS, AND OTHER PUBLIC MEANS OF RECOGNITION FOR SERVICES RENDERED.