AGENDA
UAF FACULTY SENATE MEETING #203
Monday, December 1, 2014
1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.
Wood Center Carol Brown Ballroom

1:00 I Call to Order – Cécile Lardon  
   A. Roll Call  
   B. Approval of Minutes to Meetings #202  
   C. Adoption of Agenda  

1:04 II Status of Chancellor's Office Actions  
   A. Motions Approved:  
      1. Motion to Require Baccalaureate Degree Programs include a Capstone Experience  
      2. Motion to Approve Deletion of the Bachelor of Arts and Sciences Degree Program  
   B. Motions Pending: None  

1:05 III A. President's Remarks – Cécile Lardon  
           B. President-Elect's Remarks – Debu Misra  

1:15 IV A. Chancellor’s Remarks – Brian Rogers  
           B. Provost’s Remarks – Susan Henrichs  

1:30 V Governance Reports  
   A. Staff Council – Chris Beks  
   B. ASUAF – Mathew Carrick  
   C. Athletics – Dani Sheppard  
   D. UNAC – Tim Wilson  
   UAFT – Jane Weber  

1:40 VI Guest Speakers  
   A. Raaj Kurapati, Amanda Wall, Martin Klein  
   Topic: Parking Changes (Handout)  

1:55 BREAK  

2:05 VII New Business  
   A. Motion to approve a new Minor in Forest Management, submitted by the Curricular Affairs Committee (Attachment 203/1)
2:15 VIII Presentation
   A. Roles of Faculty Alliance and Faculty Senates

2:40 IX Public Comment*

2:45 X Members' Comments/Questions/Announcements
   A. General Comments/Announcements
   B. Committee Chair Comments
      Curricular Affairs – Rainer Newberry, Chair (Attachment 203/2)
      Faculty Affairs – Chris Fallen, Chair (Attachment 203/3)
      Unit Criteria – Chris Coffman, Chair (Attachment 203/4)
      Committee on the Status of Women – Jane Weber, Chair (Attachment 203/5)
      Core Review Committee – Leah Berman, Chair
      Curriculum Review – Rainer Newberry, Chair
      Student Academic Development & Achievement – Cindy Hardy, Chair
         (Attachment 203/6)
      Faculty Development, Assessment & Improvement – Franz Meyer, Chair
         (Attachment 203/7)
      Graduate Academic & Advisory Committee – Donie Bret-Harte, Chair
         (Attachment 203/8)
      Research Advisory Committee – Orion Lawlor, Chair
      Information Technology Committee – Rorik Peterson, Convener

3:00 XI Adjournment

*Comments from the public are welcomed. Any subsequent assignment of an issue arising from public comment to a Senate committee is made by the Faculty Senate President.
MOTION:

The UAF Faculty Senate moves to approve a new minor in Forest Management, housed in the School of Natural Resources and Extension.

Effective: Fall 2015

Rationale: This new minor will effectively prepare students for careers in forestry.

See the program proposal #80-UNP on file in the Governance Office, 312B Signers’ Hall.

*************************

Overview:

The Natural Resources Management degree was restructured and three concentrations were lost, including one in forestry which was accredited by the Society of American Foresters (SAF). Providing the accredited degree had allowed our students to obtain forestry positions in state government and with private companies that require a bachelor's degree from an accredited institution. To enable future students to learn the essentials of forestry in our new degree structure (the elimination of the three concentration areas) and be eligible for professional certification by SAF, we propose a new minor in Forest Management. The minor will be available to students in any major that have satisfied course prerequisites. In combination with the NRM major, the Forest Management minor will prepare students for application for professional certification by SAF. This credential identifies individuals with the training and knowledge required to manage the state's forest resources.

This minor will allow our students to apply to take the SAF accreditation exam. The accreditation exam is a key hurdle in the professional development of a Forestry Professional throughout the country. The potential for a Natural Resources Management major to take the exam will allow them of obtain jobs that do require a Forestry Degree. It will have a minor effect on Faculty resulting from potentially larger class sizes or the need to restructure a class as independent study for potentially smaller class sizes. In one case (NRM F453) an adjunct faculty will have to be located when the course is offered, but this need will exist even in the absence of the minor. There are no anticipated changes in the school's budget or facilities/space requirements as a result of development of this minor degree program.

In addition this Forestry Minor will give us the opportunity to gauge the interest in developing a Forestry Major in the future.

Proposed Minor Requirements:

Forest Management Minor*

1. Students must complete the following courses (cannot count toward major): **
   NRM F375-Natural Resource Ecology-3 credits,
   or BIOL F371-Principles of Ecology-3 credits
2. Complete at least 6 credits from the following (cannot count toward major):**
   - NRM F370-Introduction to Watershed Management-3 credits
   - NRM F440-Silviculture-3 credits
   - NRM F450-Forest Management-3 credits
   - NRM F452-Forest Health and Protection-3 credits
   - NRM F453-Harvesting and Utilization of Forest Products-3 credits

3. A student must complete at least 16 credits dedicated to the minor. If the student has
   taken courses from group 1 in order to complete major requirements, the credits must be
   made up from additional courses in group 2. **

* Potential accreditation as a Certified Forester by the Society of American Forests will require
  completion of the following Natural Resources Management courses: F251, F440, F450, F452, and F453 in
  combination with the Natural Resource Management BS degree. Prerequisites required for the courses listed are
  part of the Natural Resources Degree Program but students from other programs will be required to complete
  the prerequisites specified for individual classes. NRM F452 also requires the completion of either BIOL F239 or
  NRM F211 as a prerequisite. These two courses are not required for the general Natural Resources Management
  program. The prerequisites will not apply to the number of credits for the Forest Management Minor.

** Student must earn a C grade or better in each course.

Relationship to Purposes of the University:

State Division of Forestry, USFS, native corporations, etc. will all need trained forestry professionals to
fill the need for vital forest management tied to various resource uses (e.g. fuelwood, lumber, biomass,
wildlife management, park management, etc.).

This minor will help to support the NRM program by attracting students that are interested in the
forestry profession. The minor will help to prepare NRM students for the certification exam that is
conducted by the Society of American Foresters.

The minor will fill an important existing gap in our ability to meet the employment needs of
the state.
I. Approved minutes of Oct 1 meeting

II. Old business: what to do about C/O/W??

Rainer sent GERC a memo outlining problems with the proposed ‘C’ and suggested solutions. GERC met Tues 14 Oct. Leah Berman, GERC chair sent results of that meeting by email. These are listed below.

(0) There seemed to be consensus in the room that 2 C courses would be ok (albeit not ideal), rather than 3. This addresses some concerns that currently, in many disciplines, it is possible to meet the W/O requirement via two courses that are each W + O/2, or one WO course and one W course. Note that there’s an implicit assumption that more than likely, departmental Capstone courses would be written in such a way as to satisfy the C requirements.

(1) We think it is important that the C courses integrate at least two forms of communication. (That is, we are choosing to not simply reformulate/revise the current W and O requirements.) In particular, we want to require that C courses include x% writing component, y% oral component, and z% other mode, where x > 0, y > 0, z >= 0, x > y, and the minimum allowable for x + y + z is in the ballpark of 60%. (The particular percentages have not been discussed, really. Numbers bandied about were 35% writing, 15% oral, 10% other, say, but I’m totally making those numbers up. Note the ‘other’ category would not be required.)

The y% oral component could be in modes other than in-class presentation; they might also include synchronous video presentation (e.g., Skype), asynchronous video presentation (video/slides with narration), etc., to allow for the development of C courses offered via distance. The z% “other communication” might include websites, blogs, prezi/powerpoint slides (without voiceover) etc.

Here, I think that these percents are probably “portion of the assessed work of this type that contributes to the grade” a la the current “W courses must have 50% of the assessed work be written” or what the current W guidelines say. Anyway, the point is that the committee thinks it’s important that the C courses emphasize more than just writing but rather more forms of communication in a single course.

(2) It’s important that the C courses focus on communication within the discipline; that is, that C courses take audience/genre into account.

(3) It is important that requiring human feedback on the C components of the course is baked into the guidelines for determining whether a course satisfies the requirements for a C.

(4) We are going to try to steal/modify language from the current W and O course descriptions when drafting the proposed guidelines for C courses.

(5) We are committed to providing options for C/2, but we need to determine what that would look like. (e.g., could a C/2 course just do some writing, or just some oral communication? Or would it need to do both, just less? We didn’t have a chance to discuss this.)

III. New business  GERC’s proposed changes in Core requirements.

(Background: Pres. Gamble indicated to David Valentine that he’d approve any changes in UA regulations regarding GERCs and would even be willing to suspend regulations as needed. Dave’s opinion: might as well get to work on revising UAF’s core requirements, and assume that UA regs would be changed as needed.)

To that end we discussed

GERC-Proposed Changes in UAF ‘CORE’ requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Perspectives on the Human Condition</th>
<th>Proposed change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIST F100X—Modern World History</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON/PS F100X—Political Economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH/SOC F100X—Individual, Society and Culture</td>
<td>Two Introductory courses in two different social sciences (Note that at UAA History is a ‘Humanities’, at UAF a Social Science)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENGL/FL F200X--World Literatures
An introductory course in the humanities
(which could be a foreign language course)

ART/MUS/THR F200X, HUMS F201X, ANS
F202X--Aesthetic Appreciation
an introductory course in the arts which is
not exclusively based on skills acquisition

BA F323X, COMM F300X, JUST F300X, NRM
F303X, PHIL F322X, PS F300X--Ethics
An additional social science, humanities, or
Arts course

1 Math + 2 lab natural science lab courses
1 Math + 1 Nat Sci lab course + an
additional Math or Nat science course

There would be merit in making our new GERs as similar as possible to those of UAA and UAS, so as
to get closer to the BOR mandate of 'common GERs'.

**Below are copies of UAS and UAA's GERs**

**What strategies should we employ in moving towards modifications to ‘CORE’?**

a. Should individual departments create lists of appropriate 100 and 200-level courses?
b. What to do about History? (Suggestion: ask them if they’d be willing to change to ‘Humanities’)
c. What to do about foreign language courses: should only 5-credit versions be included in the list
   of acceptable courses?? (not discussed)
e. Lower division only vs. Upper Division & Lower Division? (the mood was towards lower
   division)

**We agreed that Rainer would contact Dave Valentine RE contacting UAA and UAS about possibility of changing Arts requirements and would prepare a motion for Fac Senate RE changes above.**

**Brian agreed to (a) ask History Dept how they felt about re-classifying as a ‘humanities’ and a**
**list of possible classes/criteria under the proposed GERC guidelines.**

**UAS GER REQUIREMENTS**

**Minimum Credits 34**

**Written Communication Skills 6**
ENGL S111 Methods of Written Communication 3

**Select one from the following (3 credits):**
ENGL S211 Intermediate Composition About Literature 3
ENGL S212 Technical Report Writing 3

**Oral Communication Skills 3**
Select one from the following (3 credits). Grade of C or better.
COMM S111 Fundamentals of Oral Communication 3
COMM S235 Small Group Communication and Team Building 3
COMM S237 Interpersonal Communication 3
COMM S241 Public Speaking 3

**Fine Arts 3**
Select one from the following (3 credits):
ART S160 Art Appreciation 3
ART S261 History of World Art 1 3
ART S262 History of World Art II 3
MUS S123 Music Appreciation 3
THR S111 Theatre Appreciation 3
THR S211 Theatre History and Literature I 3
THR S212 Theatre History and Literature II 3

**Humanities 3-6**
Select a minimum of one from the following (3 credits):
AKL S105 Elementary Tlingit I 4
AKL S106 Elementary Tlingit II 4
AKL S107 Elementary Haida I 4
AKL S108 Elementary Haida II 4
ASL S101 Beginning American Sign Language I 4
ASL S102 Beginning American Sign Language II 4
ENGL S215 Introduction to Literary Study 3
ENGL S223 Survey of British Literature I 3
ENGL S224 Survey of British Literature II 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENGL S225</td>
<td>Survey of American Literature I</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGL S226</td>
<td>Survey of American Literature II</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGL S261</td>
<td>Introduction to Creative Writing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S105</td>
<td>World History I*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S106</td>
<td>World History II*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S131</td>
<td>History of the U.S. I*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S132</td>
<td>History of the U.S. II*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUM S120</td>
<td>A Sense of Place: Alaska &amp; Beyond</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOUR S101</td>
<td>Introduction to Mass Communication</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIL S101</td>
<td>Introduction to Logic and Reasoning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIL S201</td>
<td>Introduction to Philosophy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIL S301</td>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSS S101</td>
<td>Elementary Russian I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSS S102</td>
<td>Elementary Russian II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAN S101</td>
<td>Elementary Spanish I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAN S102</td>
<td>Elementary Spanish II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Social Sciences 6-9** Select a minimum of two from the following from two disciplines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANTH S101</td>
<td>Introduction to Anthropology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH S202</td>
<td>Cultural Anthropology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH S211</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Archaeology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON S100</td>
<td>Introduction to Economics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON S201</td>
<td>Principles of Economics I: Macro</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON S202</td>
<td>Principles of Economics II: Micro</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOG S101</td>
<td>Introductory Geography</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT S101</td>
<td>Introduction to American Government</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT S102</td>
<td>Introduction to Political Science</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT S230</td>
<td>Introduction to Political Philosophy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT S251</td>
<td>Introduction to International Relations</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S105</td>
<td>World History I*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S106</td>
<td>World History II*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S131</td>
<td>History of the U.S. I*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST S132</td>
<td>History of the U.S. II*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY S101</td>
<td>Introduction to Psychology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY S250</td>
<td>Lifespan Development</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC S101</td>
<td>Introduction to Sociology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC S201</td>
<td>Social Problems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Select one from the remaining humanities and social science courses (3 credits).

**History courses can be used as humanities OR social science requirements, but not both.**

**Mathematics & Natural Sciences 10-12** Select one from the following (at least 3 credits):

- Mathematics and Statistics
  - MATH S106 Concepts and Contemporary Applications of Mathematics | 3
  - MATH S107 College Algebra (or higher) | 4
  - STAT S107 Survey Statistics (or higher) | 4

Select at least one from the following (4 credits): Lab Natural Sciences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S103</td>
<td>Biology and Society</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S104</td>
<td>Natural History of Alaska</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S105</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Biology I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S106</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Biology II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S111</td>
<td>Human Anatomy and Physiology I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOL S112</td>
<td>Human Anatomy and Physiology II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEM S103</td>
<td>Introduction to General Chemistry</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEM S105</td>
<td>General Chemistry I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEM S106</td>
<td>General Chemistry II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV S102</td>
<td>Earth and Environment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOG S102</td>
<td>Earth and Environment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOL S104</td>
<td>Physical Geology</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS S102</td>
<td>Survey of Physics</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS S103</td>
<td>College Physics I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS S104</td>
<td>College Physics II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS S211</td>
<td>General Physics I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS S212</td>
<td>General Physics II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remaining 3 credits must be taken from mathematics, statistics, or the above natural science courses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UAA Courses</th>
<th>UAF Courses</th>
<th>UAS Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 1: Basic College-Level Skills</strong></td>
<td><strong>Quantitative Skills — 3 Credits</strong></td>
<td><strong>Written Communication Skills — 6 Credits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGL A111, A211, A212, A213, A214, A311, A312, A414</td>
<td>ENGL F111X, F211X, F213X</td>
<td>ENGL S111, S211, S212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 2: Disciplinary Areas</strong></td>
<td><strong>Fine Arts — 3 Credits</strong></td>
<td><strong>Humanities — 6 Credits Outside the Major</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKNS A215 • ART A160, A261, A262, A360A, A360B • DNCE A170 • MUS, A121, A124, A215, A221, A222 • THR A111, A311, A312, A411, A412</td>
<td>ANS F202X • ART F200X • HUM F201X • MUS F200X • THR F200X</td>
<td>ART S160, S261, S262 • MUS S123 • THR S111, S211, S212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Sciences — 7 Credits Including One Laboratory Course</strong></td>
<td><strong>Laboratory Courses</strong></td>
<td><strong>Non-Laboratory Courses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTR A103, A104 • BIOL A102, A178, A200 • CHEM A103, A104, A105, A106 • CPLX A200 • ENVI A211 • GEOG A111 • GEOG A115, A178 • LSIS A101 • PHYS A101, A123, A124, A211, A212</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANTH F211X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTH A101, A200, A202, A250 • BA A151 • CEL A292 • ECON A123, A201, A202, A210 • EVN A212 • GEOG A101 • HNRS A292 • HS A220 • HUMS A106 • INTL A101 • JPC A101 • JUST A110, A251, A330, A375 • LEGL A101 • LSSL A111 • PS A101, A102, A311, A351 • PSY A111, A150 • SOC A101, A110, A201, A202, A251, A342, A351 • SWK A106, A243 • WS A200</td>
<td>ANTH F100X • ECON F100X • PS F100X, F300X • SOC F100X</td>
<td>ANTH S101, S202, S211 • ECON S100, S201, S202 • GEOG S101 • HIST S105, S106, S131, S132 • PSY S101, S250 • SOC S101, S201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Faculty Affairs Committee  
Minutes: Monday, October 20, 2014  

Present: Elizabeth Allman, Chris Fallen, Bella Gerlich (Ex officio), Galen Johnson, Leslie McCartney, Walter Skya, David Valentine  
Guests: Debu Misra  

Minutes:  
September 29, 2014 Minutes approved.  

Department Chair Policy Revisions:  
Debu gave some background as to why revisions to the Department Chair Policy are being proposed. Under the current Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), department chairs are faculty members. In the past some faculty have been elected chairs then their position has changed to one of administrator which then removes them from the bargaining unit. Faculty who elected a faculty to be Department Chair believed that faculty would stay as faculty to represent them but were then moved to administration. Some faculty met with Debu to look at revising the Department Chair Policy.  

A revised policy was drafted and the Chancellor objected to some of the language in the policy (specifically naming the unions involved). The Faculty Affairs Committee is now responsible for drafting up another Department Chair Policy.  

The committee then reviewed the latest draft and made the following decisions:  
Page 1C – will - accepted  
1D – required and be - accepted  
2A1 – comma between preparation offering and assessment of the academic program  
2A4 – accept revision offered (and other necessary documents)  
2C1 – advise - accepted  
3B – accept proposed yellow revision  
3C – 1st sentence okay. 2nd sentence is ‘Only in exceptional circumstances should there be deviation from this policy as asserted by the majority of faculty. Do not accept bolded changes.  
3F1 – delete as noted  
3F2 – to tenured faculty member who is eligible to vote as a UAF faculty member in any department. Delete yellow part to Agreements ....  

The Committee decided that we should not rush through this; we need take our time as this policy is very important. We will look at it again next meeting.  

A Doodle poll will be sent out for meeting next month.  

Meeting adjourned.
UAF FACULTY SENATE UNIT CRITERIA COMMITTEE
Meeting Minutes for September 9, 2014

• Housekeeping

• Approval of Agenda

• Election of Chair. Chris Coffman willing to chair; any others?
  Coffman elected by acclaim.

• Meeting Schedule for Fall 2014?
  Possibilities: T or Th 10-11; T or Th 4-5.
  We will meet T, 4-5, biweekly, and will drop meetings as needed.

• Approval of Minutes from 4/22/14 Meeting. See attachment.
  Approved unanimously.

• Approval of Minutes from 5/6/14 Meeting. See attachment.
  Approved unanimously.

• Department of Mathematics and Statistics: Proposed Unit Criteria.
  (The committee discussed these criteria in 13-14; this document is in
  response to our feedback.)
  These were to be approved at last meeting from last year, but there was no quorum at that
  meeting. No substantive issues were encountered last year, only formatting.
  Criteria moved forward unanimously.

See attachment:
  • Mathematics and Statistics Unit Criteria

• Marine Advisory Program: Proposed Unit Criteria
  (The committee discussed these criteria in 13-14; this document is in
  response to our feedback.)
  It was noted that the final round of edits last year regarded template compliance.
  Criteria moved forward unanimously.

See attachment:
  • Marine Advisory Program Unit Criteria
• Continued Discussion of Committee Bylaws

It was decided that the latter half of the document reflects instructions to departments submitting criteria to the committee and could be split off into a separate document posed on the senate web page, akin to the ‘Helpful info’ section maintained by the Committee on the Status of Women.

Proposed new language regarding how units submitting criteria will communicate with the committee will be moved to the instructions section. Language concerning how the committee will be governed by the Blue Book template will be added to the bylaws.

The proposed ‘do not reply-all’ section of the bylaws will be struck, but there is an understanding among the committee members that communication with the chair regarding absences will be conducted privately, not publicly.

Coffman will bring back two documents for review at the next meeting (revised bylaws, and instructions to departments). There is already an existing ‘instructions to departments’ email that can be used as a starting point.

See attachment:
• Proposed Bylaws

Final business:

We should decide how late in the semesters we want meetings to run.

Coffman will put a proposed schedule together. We will not meet before first week of spring classes, nor after the final faculty senate session.

UAF FACULTY SENATE UNIT CRITERIA COMMITTEE
Meeting Minutes for September 23, 2014

I. Housekeeping

A. Approval of Agenda

Approved unanimously.

B. Approval of Minutes from 9/9/14 Meeting

Postponed until they can be distributed.

C. Meeting Schedule for Fall 2014: Tuesdays 4-5 PM on 9/23, 10/7, 10/21, 11/4, 11/18, and 12/2 in the Kayak Room

We will communicate by email to modify this schedule, as Sunny Rice cannot make this time.
II. Fisheries Division: Proposed Unit Criteria.

See attachments:
- Proposed Unit Criteria
- Overview of changes to criteria

We approved first change, noted that the “may not” in second change sounds like a prohibition. Will ask that to be changed.

David pointed out the part of the second sentence “WILL BE EVALUATED BY THEIR PERFORMANCE AND FOR NON-TENURE PROMOTION” is unclear and possibly can be stricken. We will ask them to clarify.

David mentioned that “A MEMBER OF THE GRADUATE COMMITTEE” sounds like maybe there is only one graduate committee. Also, the “SHOULD” in that sentence is unclear, how strong a prescription is that?

Chris Hartman pointed out the part about “SECTION A.1 DO NOT APPLY” might be out of compliance with the mandate to not modify the Provost’s template. David points out that is SFOS language and not Provost’s template, so it is not a violation, but we are not sure what section A.1 is. The section number should probably be III.B.2. After further review, the section numbers are confusing and we will just ask SFOS to fix them.

David: Suggest striking “ONLY” from last sentence.

Chris Coffman will relay our suggestions, along with formatting issues (differences from Provost’s template) and request a member of the unit be present at the next meeting.

III. Continued Discussion of Committee Bylaws

See attachment:
- Proposed Bylaws

There was some discussion of the practice of only adding to Provost’s template. Is this just past practice, or does it come from the Blue Book (in which case, is it redundant to restate that?) Chris Coffman will ask.

Some wordsmithing to fix the sentence “The criteria to be reviewed may include those submitted every five (5) years pursuant to Blue Book regulations as well as those proposed by units for revision at other times”

Approved unanimously.

IV. Discussion of Draft of “Advice for Units Submitting Criteria”

See attachment:
- “Advice for Units Submitting Criteria”
Make same change as in last item.
Change period at end of first paragraph to a colon.
Some discussion of whether to ask for a summary of changes in addition to a clean and marked up copy, but we decided just the two copies are fine.

Approved unanimously.
Committee on the Status of Women
Minutes Wednesday, November 5, 2014; 10:30 - 11:30 am, Library Kayak room

Members Present: Jane Weber, Mary Ehrlander, Ellen Lopez, Derek Sikes, Diana Di Stefano, Erin Pettit, Megan McPhee

Members absent: Michelle Bartlett, Kayt Sunwood
Members on sabbatical: Amy Barnsley, Jenny Liu

1. Fall Conversation Café (Nov 4): Topics were used to provide catalysts for strategic thinking. Event was well received and organized and lots of comments & ideas were generated. Next time an icebreaker / introductions will happen. What's the next step with this idea? Another one in Spring – perhaps same topic with new people. Goal to get up to 20 people – get the word out in different ways. Idea to thank attendees and solicit feedback to improve and seed next event in Spring.

2. Snapshot: UAF Faculty: 2014 data available but has errors – Jane will meet with Sine to deal with this.

3. Resolution Supporting the UAF budget request "Expanding the Early Childhood Program (Bunnell House)" – Passed in the Senate.

4. Luncheon – 2015: Date set Tues Sep 22.

5. Promotion/Tenure workshop: Friday April 24th, Springfest day. Need to figure out panelists soon. Discussion of whether we should include a focus on promotion from Associate to Full as part of this event, or have a separate event for this? One idea to emphasize - 4th yr review may be too late to fix some problems so new faculty should be proactive to obtain reliable annual feedback earlier. Idea to do an event for faculty starting their 2nd year in the Fall. Idea to do break out sessions that are focused on key issues to maximize the efficiency for attendees (so for example, 2nd yr faculty could have their own group for a 5 year plan while those who are about to go up for P/T will have their own group.) Need to decide on subgroups and panelists.

6. Next meeting: Dec 3, 10:30-11:30 Kayak rm.

7. Discussion of plan to gather data on retention / departures. Perhaps prepare a survey monkey that the Provost office could offer to departing faculty to gather feedback. Also solicit feedback from faculty who remain at UAF to understand why.

Respectfully Submitted, Derek Sikes, These minutes are archived on the CSW website:
http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/committees/14-15-csw/
Student Academic Development and Achievement Committee
Meeting Minutes for October 3, 2014

Attending: Cindy Hardy, Alex Fitts, Sandra Wildfeuer, Joe Mason, Gordon Williams, Eileen Harney, Bobbie Jenson, Dana Greci.

Chair/Co-chair elections: Cindy Hardy and Sandra Wildfeuer indicated their willingness to continue as co-chairs for the 2014-15 academic year. No one else indicated an interest in serving at this point. The committee approved Cindy and Sandra continuing in these roles for the current year.

Course approvals: Kelly Houlton from Developmental Math joined the committee to answer questions and offer clarifications on the Modular Mastery Math courses (DEVM 069 D,E,F and DEVM 109 G, H, J) up for our approval. We had reviewed these courses as trial courses last year.

Kelly noted that these courses had had input from the chairs of Developmental Education and Math because the modular courses, when approved, will impact the list of prerequisites for Math. These courses are the equivalent of DEV 060 and 105. She explained how the modular system works; for example, a student could test into a module in such a way that they demonstrate that they have mastery of part but not all of DEV 105. This student would then complete the modules needed so that they might complete the course in less time than a full semester. She has been working with the registrar, the business office, and financial aid to be sure that this model will work smoothly for students.

We approved the courses.

Learning Center update: Brandon Uzzell was working on developing an online Learning Center; however, a job change means that he will no longer be the Academic Advising Center representative on SADA, and this project now needs someone to take it on. While there are a number of Learning Center type activities going on in the library, there is still no formal Learning Center with connections and participation from many departments. We will keep this item on the agenda on an ongoing basis for now.

BOR request for Alignment: We discussed the Board of Regents resolution on General Ed alignment, particularly in Math and English, including developmental math and English. We asked if the alignment the BOR is seeking is limited only to the core—would they want alignment of courses in degree programs, for example. Alex Fitts noted that the alignment they seek is limited to the Core or GER courses. Cindy noted that groups are being formed in Math/DEV and English/DEV to address the issues the BOR want addressed—placement, course designators and numbers, descriptions, and outcomes.

Obstacles to Student Success: We have discussed for some time the possibility of developing a survey to determine the non-academic obstacles to student success at UAF. Cindy will follow up with Sine Anahita about meeting with us to take steps to develop such a survey.

College and Career Readiness document: We have been forwarded a document being drafted statewide defining College, Career, and Cultural readiness, and have been asked to offer feedback. We
examined the statement being developed for the State of Alaska and compared it with statements from other states. The following is a summary of our comments:

These are vague statements and not measurable (compare with Maine’s statement). Some of the items listed, such as meeting Alaska State Standards, are something we should already do. How does this move anything forward?

One committee member has met with Education Northwest, the group charged with guiding this process. She noted that it seems like they are having difficulty getting groups to work together on this. We agreed that there is currently a disconnect between what students are getting in high school and what they need when they enter the UA system.

We are unclear whether these are floor, average, or aspirational goals. We feel that these goals may be too low. We suggest starting with the objectives of college readiness and working backward.

Cindy asked the committee to send further comments that she will compile and send to Ashley Pierson, who is working on this project for Education Northwest. We will look at our comments and add detail to them at the next meeting.

**Math placement update:** We reviewed how new placement methods are going this fall.

Gordon reported that the Math Placement committee is beginning the process of post mortem on the roll-out of ALEX placement this fall. They are hoping to improve the process for spring and are developing metrics to improve the efficiency of the process. Gordon notes that because of the BOR push for UA alignment, the committee is not sure how long they have to work on this, but notes that there would be changes in any case because UAA and UAS are not doing the same thing as UAF.

The goal of the committee is to achieve measurable improvement in student performance. Accuplacer was convenient, but didn’t work. They will do the first round of assessment in January—but would be more comfortable if they could gather four years of data first!

Some challenges to be addressed include that there is no set day for HS students to take ALEKS. Could the test be administered as part of Orientation?

**English/DEVE placement update:** We have aligned English/DEVE placement score ranges with UAA and UAS, which led to the placement changes implemented this semester, using combined reading and writing placement scores on Accuplacer for placement. We proposed combined reading and writing placement, but were asked to decouple them—in other words, a student placing in DEVE does not automatically take reading. However students need these skills, so we approved special topics courses last year that compress 6 credits of reading and writing into 4 and 5 credit courses at the lower and mid-level of placement. However, these classes were canceled due to low enrollment and budget limitations.

Those teaching DEVE report that the new placement ranges seem to have sorted out the students into better cohorts. We speculate that the other change, changing DEVE 070 to DEVE 104 has given students more motivation now that the class can be counted as elective credit.

???? My notes run out here. Does anyone remember any further discussion?
We did discuss the Friday late afternoon meeting time and agreed that there might be a better time.
I. Franz Meyer called the meeting to order at 3:03 pm.

II. Roll call

Present: Bill Barnes, Diana DiStefano, Cindy Fabbri, Andrea Ferrante, Brian Himelblum, Kelly Houlton, Duff Johnston, Chris Lott, Franz Meyer, Joy Morrison, Channon Price, Leslie Shallcross
Excused: Trina Mamoon, Amy Vinlove
Absent: Mark Herrmann

III. Summary of activities of the Office for Faculty Development (report from Joy)

Joy reports that the POD Network Conference was excellent, as always. She would like to see faculty members who are interested in professional development attend next year’s conference which will be November 4 – 8, 2015 in San Francisco. She informed us that she attended two workshops addressing “survey fatigue” that were very helpful. She is planning on implementing a survey in January to garner more feedback on faculty members’ professional development needs.

Joy passed out a flier specifying all the professional development activities for November, and there was some discussion regarding the NSF presentations. Franz asked if attendees are aware that the Office of Faculty Development organizes this. Joy responded that she is unsure since she is focused on facilitating the presentations. She also noted that the NSF presentations are highly interactive so are not recorded and archived for those unable to attend. Joy explained that teaching faculty are more interested in faculty development in general as compared to research faculty.

IV. Report by UAF eLearning & Distance Education on recent faculty development activities

Chris reported that he will send a list via email of the faculty development activities at eLearning so that it can be incorporated into our meeting minutes. He noted that the new Chancellor’s Fellows have started and that there will be nine faculty members this year. He explained that the chosen Fellows will work with eLearning and Distance Ed for three semesters (Fall, Spring, Fall). He suggested we check out www.cite.community.uaf.edu to see the past groups’ projects and what this year’s Fellows are working on.

Chris noted that he is currently working on some reports for the BOR, the Chancellor and the Provost. He shared some of the challenges they are facing in tracking and quantifying their faculty development efforts. It has not been possible to have a lot of direct assessment, and there needs to be clear definitions of terms so that all faculty development efforts can be more clearly understood. Joy noted that this seems like something she should collaborate on and so it really is a good time for eLearning and the OFD to work closely. Chris added that it will be even easier next year when they are moved to main campus. Franz asked that if there is anything that the FDAI committee can do to help with some kind of assessment plan for eLearning’s faculty development efforts to please let us know.

Chris passed out a few fliers from eLearning, including one regarding their Faculty on T.A.P. (Teaching and Pedagogy) where faculty members choose a topic of conversation and eLearning will provide a place to meet (with food!) More information on upcoming topics or booking time is available on their website.

V. News on Electronic Course Assessment Implementation Committee
This is a subcommittee of the FDAI Committee and will include a variety of members with experience teaching various types of courses. Franz notes that we still need someone who teaches a “pure” lab course; CP volunteered to help with this for the current semester, but he will not be able to participate for spring 2015.

Franz informed us that the Provost’s office is putting this together, and due to the fact that there have been a lot of things to set up, the committee may have to re-think the timeline. We will try to have a meeting this week, and Franz has sent out a draft brief outlining the committee’s focus. There will be some notes on the progress at our next FDAI meeting.

VI. Discussion: What is the state of UAF’s faculty development culture and how can we assist in its improvement?

Franz noted that while our committee has discussed this a lot in the past, it is a good time to revisit the topic since Chris has been added to FDAI, there will be a new faculty evaluation system, and there may be new ways of assessing faculty development efforts. Franz asked us to think about what FDAI can do to help, and what makes faculty development successful? He noted that successful faculty development cultures at other institutions indicate a strong support from administration, so how can we improve this at UAF? CP noted that where teaching is the main focus, faculty development is embraced. Joy added that the culture is formed by the leadership. Franz opined that connecting institutional culture with faculty development culture may require us to accept that UAF is a split campus between teaching and research. We may need to redefine faculty development as not being just about teaching, and we may need to suggest a reward structure for participation and success. Kelly asked if we might invite Paul Reichardt to come to one of our FDAI meetings so that we could ask him for some input since faculty development was something he really pushed for. We decided that this could be helpful, and that we will let Provost Henrichs know we will be inviting him.

Joy reminded us that she will be gone for December and January.

VII. Other Business

a. FDAI Committee mission and bylaws

We will continue to work on this.

b. Faculty 180 comments/actions?

We had no time to discuss this.

VIII. Upcoming Events

a. Next FDAI meeting: 12-8-14 at 3:00 pm

b. Next Administrative Committee meeting: 11-21-14

c. Next Faculty Senate meeting: 12-1-14

IX. Adjourned at 4:05 pm (Respectfully submitted by Kelly Houlton.)
Graduate Academic and Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes for November 4, 2014

Attending: John Yarie, Lara Horstmann (by phone), Cheng-fu Chen (by phone), Sean McGee, Mike Daku, Mitchell Reed, Donie Bret-Harte, Laura Bender, Amanda (for Holly Sherouse), Amy Lovecraft

I. Minutes from the two previous meetings were passed

II. GAAC welcomed Mitchell Reed as our new graduate student representative.

III. GAAC passed the following course and program proposals:
     1-Trial: GEOS F694 - Deformation and Metamorphism of Crystalline Rocks
     1-GNC: New Course: FISH F641 - Ecosystem-based Fisheries Management
     2-GPCh.: Program Change: M.Ed. - Elementary Education

IV. GAAC discussed current assignments. A lot of items have been reviewed, but many need work. Proposers have been contacted, and we are waiting for revisions. Sean and Mike are working on the proposal for a program in Homeland Security and Emergency Management, which has a lot of coursework. They have already received some revisions from the proposers, including changes to some of the course numbers. They hope to be able to come back to us with a complete package that is ready to pass soon.

V. GAAC discussed the first VetMed course descriptions (reviewed by Donie and Lara), and spent some time considering what questions we would like the proposers to answer. Karsten Hueffer will attend our next meeting to give an overview of the program and how the courses fit together.

VI. GAAC discussed the idea of providing optional language that could be inserted into course syllabi to inform students of the faculty member’s obligations to disclose information about sexual harassment or assault to the Title IX coordinators. Amy will draft language that can be considered at our next meeting.

VII. Because we ran out of time, no new reviewing assignments were made. However, there is plenty assigned to work on at present. GAAC will meet again next Tuesday, November 11, 2014.