<School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences> <Graduate Program in Marine Sciences and Limnology> **Table 4.1 Outcomes Assessment Implementation Summary** MS Oceanography **Academic Year** 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 1) Comprehensive Exams 1) Comprehensive Exams 1) Comprehensive Exams Assessment information collected 2) Review of oral thesis presentation by 2) Review of oral thesis presentation by 2) Review of oral thesis presentation by audience. Rankings on scale of 1-5, with 1 audience. Rankings on scale of 1-5, with 1 audience. Rankings on scale of 1-5, with 1 being excellent and 5 being poor. being excellent and 5 being poor. being excellent and 5 being poor. 3) Review of graduate theses by 3) Review of graduate theses by 3) Review of graduate theses by Department Head. Rankings range from Department Head. Rankings range from Department Head. Rankings range from Outstanding to Excellent to Adequate. Outstanding to Excellent to Very Good to Outstanding to Excellent to Adequate. Good to Acceptable. 4) Graduate presentation and publication 4) Graduate presentation and publication 4) Graduate presentation and publication record record 5) Graduates first employment 5) Graduates first employment 5) Graduates first employment 6) Graduate satisfaction after graduation assessed through an exit interview. 6) Graduate satisfaction after graduation Rankings range from very Satisfied to assessed through an exit interview. Mostly Satisfied, to Not Satisfied. Rankings range from very Satisfied to Mostly Satisfied, to Not Satisfied. 1) One MS Oceanography student took their 1) Three MS Oceanography students took 1) No MS Oceanography students took their Conclusions drawn from the comprehensive exams this year and passed comprehensive exams this year. their comprehensive exams this year. Two information collected above passed and one had to re-take the exam and how are faculty 2) No MS Oceanography students defended 2) Two MS students defended this year. The twice, but then passed. quality of their oral defenses was around 1.5 this year. collectively involved in 2) One MS student defended this year. The for both based on ~14 evaluations each. drawing conclusions 3) No MS Oceanography students quality of this oral defense averaged 1.6 2) One MS Oceanography student

Submitted by: Name Here

graduated this year.	based on 14 evaluations.	graduated this year. The review by the
graduated this year. 4) n/a 5) n/a	2) One MS Oceanography student graduated this year. The review by the Program Head of his dissertation was assessed as excellent for both competence of research skills and for level of written communication skills. 4) The one student who completed her degree this year presented her research at	Program Head of her dissertation was assessed as very good for competence of research skills and good for level of written communication skills. 4) The one student who completed her degree this year presented her research at three scientific venues. At least two of these were posters. The third is unknown. Part of her research has been published in one peer-reviewed journal as second author. 5) The student is now looking into PhD programs but has an interim position as a research technician. 6) This student was very satisfied with her research experience and training, mostly satisfied with the GPMSL courses, and very satisfied with UAF courses outside of GPMSL. This student did not enjoy the content of one of the core courses taught in GPMSL.
	three scientific venues. It is unknown if they were oral or poster presentations. Her research has not been published in a peer-reviewed journal yet.	
	5) This student is now employed at a state agency doing coastal science education.6) This student was very satisfied with her	
	research experience and training, mostly satisfied with the GPMSL and other UAF courses. This student would have liked to have larger classes. She said that many of	
	the courses that she took, she was the only student or the only one located in Fairbanks. Also, she wished there were more seminars that offered practical experience, such as	
	creating posters and giving presentations. The course that she was least satisfied with outside GPMSL was statistics. We have heard this complain about the statistics	
	department from our students in the past.	

Curricular changes resulting from conclusions drawn above

GPMSL faculty have regular, monthly faculty meetings to evaluate and improve our programs. GPMSL decided graduate student satisfaction needed to be measured. An exit interview asking about satisfaction will be implemented next year.

The low number of graduates within this program is disconcerting. However, with recent new hires in Oceanography we hope that these numbers will increase over the next several years.

GPMSL faculty have made an effort to offer classes that teach "scientific life skills". A seminar that offers practical experience in poster and oral presentations and CV preparation is now offered through GPMSL. GPMSL has been aware of the lack of statistics courses within our department and that classes delivered through the Statistics department do not meet our students' needs. We have voiced this concern with the Statistics department and also have supported the addition of one statistics course to be taught by one of our research faculty in GPMSL.

We also decided to improve our outcomes assessment metrics by adding a finer scale for the evaluation of theses by the program head.

GPMSL faculty and the SFOS Curricular Council will review the syllabi of GPMSL courses, including core courses, starting in fall 2012 to assess course contents and potential overlaps.

While the GPMSL faculty is overall satisfied with our student performance, we decided that we should provide clearer guidance of our expectations of "successful MS students" and we have developed a list of accomplishments that will prepare them best for the job market and/or continued education.