Department/Unit	Chemistry	and Biochemistry	College/School	_CNSM
Doparamona ome	91101111011	ana Biodiloimon y		

## **Environmental Chemistry M.S. program**

NOTE: This is a new document for the Academic year 2010-11. Please contact Bill Simpson or the Provost's office for prior years.

Table 4.1 Outcomes Assessment Implementation Summary						
Complete a separate table for each degree and certificate program						
	Academic Year					
	2010-11	2011-12				
Assessment information	Graduate program assessment forms were compiled in May/June 2011 and results were analyzed by considering whether students were deficient (below the expected level for their year in program), at expectation, or exceeding expectations. The percent of students exceeding the expectation minus the percent of deficient students is tabulated below:  1. Specific knowledge of literature 33% 2. Ability to critically analyze literature 33% 3. Technical abilities 67% 4. Quantitative abilities 33% 5. General knowledge of field 33% 6. Presentation skills 33% 7. Writing Skills 67%	Graduate program assessment forms were compiled in May/June 2012 and results were analyzed by considering whether students were deficient (below the expected level for their year in program), at expectation, or exceeding expectations. The percent of students exceeding the expectation minus the percent of deficient students is tabulated below:  1. Specific knowledge of literature 33% 2. Ability to critically analyze literature 33% 3. Technical abilities 67% 4. Quantitative abilities 67% 5. General knowledge of field 33% 6. Presentation skills 67% 7. Writing Skills 67%				

Department/Unit Chemis	stry and Biochemistry Col	lege/School <u>CNSM</u>	
	These primary data are used to address our first three learning objectives.	These primary data are used to address our first three learning objectives.	
	All graduating students met or exceeded all assessment criteria.	All graduating students met or exceeded all assessment criteria.	
	Publication data was collected and are in departmental records.	Publication data was collected and are in departmental records.	
	Employment: There were 2 M.S. graduates. One M.S. Graduate is employed in field, and one M.S.	Employment: There were 3 M.S. graduates. One M.S. Graduate is employed in field, and one M.S.	
	graduate is not seeking employment at this time (having a baby).	graduate seeking employment in education, the third is seeking employment in field.	
O land land a discount from the	A) Tankainal ak Wiina and	(A) To a business of a busines	
Conclusions drawn from the	/	1) Technical abilities and	
information collected above and how are faculty	presentation skills: Students scored very well or well on points	presentation skills: Students scored very well points 3	
collectively involved in	3 (Technical) and 1 (Literature)	(Technical) and 1 (Literature) and	
drawing conclusions	and 5 (Knowledge of field) of the	5 (General knowledge of field) of	
urawing conclusions	assessment survey. Annual	the assessment survey. Annual	
	progress reports also indicated	progress reports also indicated	
	students were making progress.	good progress in these areas.	
	2) MS grads will contribute to the	2) MS grads will contribute to the	
	field: All graduating and advancing students met all	<u>field</u> : All graduating and advancing students met all	

Department/Unit Chemistry and Biochemistry College/School CNSM					
	criteria.	criteria.			
	<ul> <li>3) <u>Communications:</u> Students performed very well or well in oral (point 6) and written (point 7) communications.</li> <li>4) <u>Employment:</u> Employment of students remains very strong.</li> </ul>	3) <u>Communications:</u> Students performed very well in oral (point 6) and written (point 7) communications. 4) <u>Employment:</u> Employment of students remains very strong.			
Curricular changes	1) No changes.	1) No changes.			
resulting from conclusions drawn above	2) No changes.	2) No changes.			
	3) No changes.	3) No changes.			
	4) No changes.	4) No changes.			