

Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Summary

Bachelors of Business Administration, BBA Economics

School of Management

2013-2014

Submitted by: Mark Herrmann

Contact Information: x7461

Date: May 14, 2014

1. Assessment information collected

A. Communication

- a) Communication Written: Writing samples are collected from all “W” designated courses in BBA.
- b) Communications Oral: Oral presentations are recorded in all “O” designated courses in BBA.

B. Problem Solving

- a) Problem Solving General: The Watson Glaser exam is given lower and upper division courses.
- b) Problem Solving Econometrics: Questions embedded in Econ 227 (Intermediate Statistics for Economics and Business) exams.
- c) Problem Solving Accounting: Questions are embedded in Acct 262 (Accounting Concepts and Uses II) exams.

C. Ethical Knowledge

- d) Questions are embedded in the final exam for BA 323 (Business Ethics).

- D. **Technical Knowledge** General Business and Economics. The ETS exam is given each semester in BA 462 (Corporate Strategy). Questions are also embedded in BA 325 (Financial Management), BA 343 (Principles of Marketing), BA 390 (Organizational Theory and Behavior) and Econ 202 (Principles of Macroeconomics).

Note: Data is collected every semester, assessment every other year by faculty. This year **Problem Solving** and **Ethical Knowledge** were assessed.

2. Conclusions drawn from the collected information summarized above

Problem Solving and **Ethical Knowledge** were assessed on two years of assessment data.

A. Communication. Writing samples and recorded speeches are summarized every two years and will next be assessed in FY15.

B. Problem Solving

The Watson-Glaser (WG) exam was given in AY14 in Acct 404 (Advanced Cost Accounting and Controllershship, BA 423 (Investment Analysis) and Econ 227 (Intermediate Statistics for Economics and Business).

Our minimal standards are that fifty-percent of the students will score at the 50th percentile or higher on the total score and that seventy-percent of the students will score at “average” or above on the three subscale scores. These minimal standards are expected for students tested in our 400-level courses. Additionally, we test students at the 200-level to see if there was improvement over their last two years in SOM. The results are as follows:

Table 1. Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Minimal Standards.

	200-level	400-level
No. Students	30	19
Recognize Assumptions	77	89
Evaluate Arguments	67	74
Draw Conclusions	67	84
Total Score	67	84

For the junior and senior students, that are the focus of our assessment, all of our students meet minimal standards for both the total score and the subscale scores. Eight-four percent of the students meet or exceed the 50th percentile on the total score and at least seventy-percent of the students score at “average” or above on the three subscale scores.

There was also improvement from the students taking the sophomore level class. For the total scores the number of students meeting minimal standard improved from 67 to 84 percent. For the subscale scores there were similar improvements. At the 200-level the minimal level was met in just one of three subscales scores whereas they are met in each one at the 400-level.

We are embedding problem solving questions directly into class exams for both ECON 227 (Intermediate Statistics for Economics and Business) and ACCT 262 (Principles of Managerial Accounting).

For Econ 227, with three semesters worth of data, 81% of the students were able to satisfactorily synthesize and estimate equations and 79% could set up a hypothesis test and synthesize data. In Acct 262, embedded questions have focused on the student's ability to understand "relevant costs" and the "decision to drop a product line". In AY12, 52% of the class were able to satisfactorily answer the cost question while 90% exhibited a satisfactory understanding of a product line decision. In FY13, the cost question was answered satisfactorily by 85% of the students. In FY13 both in-class and online classes were tested with online classes performing slightly better. More data is needed, though, for comparison between online and inclass.

C. Ethical Knowledge

To assess ethical knowledge we embedded questions into the final exam for BA 323 (Business Ethics) to assess ethical knowledge. These questions are designed in such a way as to assess whether a student can distinguish between legal vs. illegal behavior and ethical vs. unethical behavior with a minimum standard being a pass rate of 70%. The results from the embedded questions have been found to be at acceptable levels. From AY12-AY14, the in-class students have averaged 83.0% on identifying legal vs. illegal behavior and 79.9% were able to identify ethical vs. unethical behavior. In FY14, we assessed ethics in an online course and 92.0% and 87.5% of the students were able to make the legal/illegal and ethical/unethical behavior distinction; respectively. In FY14, using a scenario-based question, students have averaged 88.3% on identifying legal vs. illegal behavior but just 61.0% were able to identify ethical vs. unethical behavior. More data is needed to understand these findings.

D. Technical Knowledge: Technical knowledge is assessed every two years and will next be assessed in FY15.

3. Identify the faculty members involved in reaching the conclusions drawn above and agreeing upon the curricular changes resulting

All undergraduate BBA changes to the Economics program were addressed by BBA and the Economics Assurance of Learning Committee which consists of:

Joe Little, Co-Chair
Thomas Zhou, Co-Chair
Jungho Baek
Amy Cooper
Jim Collins
Josh Greenberg
Jim McDermott
Doug Reynolds
Wendy Tisland
Chris Wright
Anita Hughes (AOL Support)