



Susan Henrichs, Provost
907-474-7096
907-474-1836 fax
uaf.provost@alaska.edu
www.uaf.edu/provost

Office of the Provost

311 Signers' Hall, P.O. Box 757580, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-7580

The faculty committee includes one representative from each school (although this year's SNRE representative resigned due to other commitments), two representatives from each college on Fairbanks campus, and two representatives each from CTC and other CRCDC units. The Fairbanks campus and CTC faculty members were chosen by their respective deans for this service, and CRCDC faculty were chosen by the CRCDC Dean and Executive Vice Chancellor.

The normal Program Review process is being followed, but there are a few differences.

- (1) Program review is focusing on a group of programs selected by the Planning and Budget committee. The selection was made based on the programs being among the lowest in enrollment in their category, lowest in graduates/enrollment in their category, and/or having a sharp decline in enrollment over the past five years. There were three categories: certificate and associate programs, baccalaureate programs, and master's and Ph.D. programs. Enrollments and graduates for certificate & associate, BS & BA and master's and Ph.D. programs were combined if the programs shared a large proportion of courses and faculty.
- (2) Programs had a relatively short time (about one month) to prepare their program review report. Because of this, they were excused from providing student learning outcomes assessment information unless they had it readily available.
- (3) Given the probable, substantial reductions in state funding in the next two years, Chancellor Rogers has set a goal of saving \$3M via program reduction or elimination. That goal was communicated to the faculty Program Review committee. They were also told that the alternative to selectively reducing or eliminating some programs is to reduce all programs, across-the-board, by an equivalent amount.

As usual, the faculty committee is charged with making recommendations to an administrator committee. That committee includes the school and college deans and community campus directors. The administrator committee will consider the faculty committee recommendation as well as the reports submitted by the programs under review, and will also make recommendations. In both cases the recommendation options are continue; continue with change; or discontinue. Committee recommendations are made by majority, anonymous vote (with the vote tallies recorded), and recommendations are not always unanimous. Both the faculty and administrator committee recommendations will be delivered to Chancellor's Cabinet, which will make the final decisions.

The role of faculty in the program review process is especially difficult. There is a large quantity of material to review (over 1000 pages) and all of the faculty on the Program Review committee are very aware that their recommendations could lead to decisions that will impact their colleagues. Individuals with concerns are being asked not to contact them directly and individually to express concerns about the process or the fate of particular programs. Instead, a Google form <http://bit.ly/1zcABAT> has been set up so that anyone can provide input to the committee as a group. The input received will also be provided to the administrator committee and to the Chancellor's cabinet.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Susan Henrichs'.

Susan Henrichs
Provost

Naturally Inspiring.

UAF is an AA/EO employer and educational institution.

2014-2015 Program Review Faculty Committee

CEM	Leroy Hulsey
CEM	Silke Schiewer
CLA	Mary Ehrlander
CLA	Diana DiStefano
CNSM	Rich Collins
CNSM	John Gimbel
CRCD	Dana Greci
CRCD	Veronica Plumb
CTC	Jennifer Tilbury
CTC	Teresa Lantz
SFOS	Andrew McDonnell
SNRE	<i>vacant</i>
SOE	Sue Renes
SOM	Nicole Cundiff