



LOSS PREVENTION FUNDING APPLICATION

Applicant Name:	Applicant Job Title:
Department Name:	Phone:

Identify the risk to be reduced or eliminated: _____

Describe your loss prevention project (or provide attachment): _____

Is this project based on prior losses arising out of the identified risk? If so, please explain: _____

Project Support: Please provide copies of data and/or additional paper to provide rationale for your loss prevention request

Loss Prevention Application Category: (Please mark only one)

- Auto Liability
 Employee Practices
 General Liability
 Property
 Workers' Safety
 Ergonomics

Estimate savings to be realized from the loss prevention project	\$
Total estimated cost of project	\$
Funding to be provided by your department, if any	\$
Funding requested	\$
Accounting Codes for Reimbursement Funds ORG _____ Fund _____	

Dean/Director Approval:		Date:
Campus EHS/RM Office:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	Date:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Approved – does not meet program guidelines* <input type="checkbox"/> Not Approved – other:	
System Office of Risk Services:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	Date:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Approved – does not meet program guidelines* <input type="checkbox"/> Not Approved – other:	
* Over \$5000.00 requires CRO approval		
-Approved		Date:

FOR SORS OFFICE USE ONLY

Loss Prevention Number:	Date Received (SORS):	Date Approved (SORS):	Date JVD:	Date Finald:



LOSS PREVENTION PROJECT EVALUATION SHEET

The elements of a comprehensive LP plan include risk identification, selection of loss prevention technique(s), the anticipated benefits of implementation, and the LP funding sources. LP Plans that do not meet this requirement may be denied or returned to the applicant for additional information or relevant justification.

Projects that most effectively impact one of the five areas of loss above and that will impact the broadest base within the UA community will be given the most weight.

Community Impact Points		Score
Broad (100 + individuals)	6	
Average (10 to 100 people)	4	
Minimal (under 10 people)	2	
Loss Relevancy Points		Score
Strongly tied to insurable risks	6	
Somewhat tied to insurable risks	4	
No link to insurable risks	2	
Loss Frequency Points		Score
Prior losses > 10 per year	6	
Prior losses = 5 to 10 per year	4	
Prior losses = 0 to 5 per year	2	
Loss Severity Points		Score
A prior loss in this area has exceeded \$25,000	6	
Prior losses have been between \$10,000 and \$25,000	4	
Prior losses have been less than \$10,000	2	
FINAL SCORE		

Although the scoring above does not constitute the final analysis of whether an LP project will be approved, a high score makes it more likely:

Project Approval - Highly Likely	Score 20 – 24
- Probable	12 - 18
- Low	8 - 10