EDSE 632 IDEA Compliance Research Paper

by Jane Monahan

Assessment

EDSE 632 IDEA Compliance Research Paper

Assignment: Write a research paper on a major IDEA compliance topic **(below)** that clearly demonstrates understanding of issues related to foundations and professional practices of special education. Candidates must reflect upon: historical knowledge of special education; understand that it is an evolving field encompasses many different practices and agencies; engaging people of diverse cultural; and intellectual, emotional, and learning backgrounds. The paper must also clearly address professional and ethical practice issues as well as curricular content knowledge related to the topic and to the candidate's intended practices as an educator.

1) Student Participation in IEP Meetings	11) Procedural Safeguards
2) Fair Hearings/Due Process Hearing	12) Complaints
Evaluation/Assessment issues pertinent to IEP development	13) Healthcare provided in Schools for students with disabilities
4) Related Services	14) Child find
5) IEP team membership	15) Foster care parental IEP rights
6) IEP meetings via electronic/alternative means	16) IEP timelines
7) Thirty-Day Reviews/Transfer IEPs	17) Mediation
8) Transition for secondary-age students	18) Compensatory Education
9) Attorney Fees	19) Non-Public Schools

Standards

- CEC-INI-2012.3.1 Beginning special education professionals understand the central concepts, structures of the discipline, and tools of inquiry of the content areas they teach, and can organize this knowledge, integrate cross-disciplinary skills, and develop meaningful learning progressions for individuals with exceptionalities
- CEC-INI-2012.3.2 Beginning special education professionals understand and use general and specialized content knowledge for teaching across curricular content areas to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities
- **CEC-INI-2012.3.3** Beginning special education professionals modify general and specialized curricula to make them accessible to individuals with exceptionalities.
- **CEC-INI-2012.6.1** Beginning special education professionals use professional Ethical Principles and Professional Practice Standards to guide their practice.
- **CEC-INI-2012.6.2** Beginning special education professionals understand how foundational knowledge and current issues influence professional practice.
- CEC-INI-2012.6.3 Beginning special education professionals understand that diversity is a part of families, cultures, and schools, and that complex human issues can interact with the delivery of special education services.
- **CEC-INI-2012.6.4** Beginning special education professionals understand the significance of lifelong learning and participate in professional activities and learning communities.
- CEC-INI-2012.6.5 Beginning special education professionals advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring
- CEC-INI-2012.6.6 Beginning special education professionals provide guidance and direction to paraeducators, tutors, and volunteers.

EDSE 632 IDEA Compliance Research Paper -- CEC Standards Rubric

Thoroughly identifies **Initial Content** Adequately identifies Identifies and Identifies some and discusses Standard 3.1: and discusses discusses some circumstances by which they would **Integrates** circumstances by circumstances by circumstances by which they would which they would which school district disseminate crossdisciplinary disseminate would disseminate disseminate information about the skills, tools of information about a information about a information about the compliance issue to inquiry of the students and families. compliance issue to compliance issue to compliance issue to students and families. content areas students and families students and families The identified they teach and The discussion. based on central based on central circumstances are develop concepts, structures, concepts, structures, however, is limited in limited in number and discipline, and tools of discipline, and tools of length and scope. meaningful there is minimum to no inquiry of special inquiry of special learning discussion. progressions education. education. for individuals with exceptionalities (1.000, 11%)CEC-INI-2012.3.1 **Initial Content** Thoroughly identifies Adequately identifies Identifies and briefly Identifies and/or briefly and discusses a plan and discusses a plan discusses a plan as to discusses a plan as to Standard 3.2: how they would teach how they would teach as to how they would as to how they would Teaches students, families, and students, families, and teach students. teach students. across colleagues about the families, and families, and colleagues about the curricular compliance issue. The compliance issue, colleagues about the colleagues about the content areas compliance issue. The compliance issue. The plan includes a few and/or identifies a few to plan includes several plan includes some possible resources to possible resources. individualize Major components of learning for possible resources to possible resources to be used. The plan, individuals however, lacks detail. the overall plan are be used based on be used based on missing (e.g., plan, with general and general and **exceptionalities** specialized content specialized content discussion, resources, knowledge for teaching knowledge for teaching (1.000, 11%) etc.). across curricular CEC-INI-2012.3.2 across curricular content areas. content areas. **Initial Content** Identifies and Identifies some Identifies some Identifies and modification that might Standard 3.3: discusses a variety of modifications that discusses some **Modifies** might be used in be used in general and modifications to modifications to general and general and general and special special education general and specialized curricula in specialized curricula in education curricula to curricula to make the specialized curricula to order to break down order to break down make the compliance compliance issue make them the compliance issue the compliance issue issue accessible to accessible to those so it is accessible to so it is accessible to with exceptionalities, accessible to those with individuals individuals with individuals with exceptionalities; however, there is little exceptionalities. exceptionalities. however, the to no discussion. with exceptionalities discussion is brief and (1.000, 11%)lacks logic. CEC-INI-2012.3.3 Identifies some **Initial Content** Identifies and Identifies historical Identifies some Standard 6.1: elaborates on historical issues and practices historical issues and historical issues and issues and practices related to the practices related to the practices related to the Uses compliance issue, compliance issue, professional related to the compliance issue. compliance issue, ethical principles which is concerned which is concerned **Ethical** ethical principles concerned with with morality, right vs. Principles and with morality, right vs. wrong, equity and/or **Professional** concerned with morality, right vs. wrong, equity and/or wrong, equity and/or social justice. The social justice. The morality, right vs. **Practice** wrong, equity and/or social justice and narrative, however, is narrative, however, is Standards to social justice and professional practice brief and misses some brief, partially guide their

(4.000 pts)

professional practice

standards.

practice.

(1.000, 11%)

standards.

practices and issues.

inaccurate, and misses

some practices and

CEC-INI-2012.6.1				issues.
Initial Content Standard 6.2: Describes how foundational knowledge and current issues influence professional practice. (1.000, 11%) CEC-INI-2012.6.2	Explores, identifies and summarizes foundational knowledge and how current issues influence professional practice pertinent to the IDEA compliance topic. The narrative shows rich awareness and in-depth understanding of the issues.	Identifies and summarizes foundational knowledge and how current issues influence professional practice pertinent to the IDEA compliance topic. The narrative shows awareness and accurate understanding of the issues.	Identifies and summarizes principles and theories as well as laws and policies, which are pertinent to the IDEA compliance topic. The narrative; however, demonstrates surface knowledge and lacks depth of detail.	Identifies and summarizes some principles and theories as well as laws and policies, which are pertinent to the IDEA compliance topic. This information is not consistently accurate. Additionally, the narrative, demonstrates minimal surface knowledge and awareness.
Initial Content Standard 6.3: Describes how diversity is a part of families, cultures, and schools, and that complex human issues can interact with the delivery of special education services. (1.000, 11%) CEC-INI-2012.6.3	Clearly, accurately, and at-length demonstrates the relationship between the compliance issue and how diversity is a part of families, cultures, and schools and that complex human issues, interact with the delivery of special education services.	Accurately and at adequate length identifies how the compliance issue is related to diversity, which is a part of families, cultures, and schools and that complex human issues can interact with the delivery of special education services.	Identifies how the compliance issue is related to issues of human diversity, including students and families with exceptionalities and those from culturally and linguistically different backgrounds. The narrative, however, is very brief and lacks detail.	Identifies how the compliance issue is related to some issues of human diversity, including students and families with exceptionalities and those from culturally and linguistically different backgrounds. The narrative; however, is very brief, lacks detail, and is not accurate.
Standard 6.4: Describes the significance of lifelong learning and participates in professional activities and learning communities. (1.000, 11%) CEC-INI-2012.6.4	Identifies and elaborates upon a wide variety of special education professional organizations including: special education law conferences, pre- and post-service trainings, school based PLCs and events in which they intend to participate as a lifelong learner.	Identifies and elaborates upon a variety of special education professional organizations, including: special education law conferences, pre- and post-service trainings, school based PLCs and events in which they intend to participate as a lifelong learner.	Identifies some possible professional organizations, conferences, pre- and post-service trainings, and events in which they intend to participate. The examples provided are few and sketchy in detail.	Identifies some possible professional organizations, conferences, pre- and post-service trainings, and/or events in which they intend to participate. The examples provided are few, sketchy in detail and inaccurate.
Initial Content Standard 6.5: Advances the special education profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and	Thoroughly and accurately identifies how they intend to advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring as related to special education laws, policies, procedures,	Identifies how they intend to advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance issues.	Identifies a few ideas on how they intend to advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance	Identifies a few ideas on how they intend to advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance

mentoring. (1.000, 11%) CEC-INI-2012.6.5	and compliance issues.		issues. The plan, however, is short on detail.	issues. The plan, however, is short on detail and is not realistic.
Initial Content Standard 6.6: Provides guidance and direction to paraeducators, tutors, and volunteers. (1.000, 11%) CEC-INI-2012.6.6	Thoroughly, accurately, and realistically identifies how they intend to provide guidance and direction to para-educators, tutors, and volunteers as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance issues.	Accurately and realistically identifies how they intend to provide guidance and direction to paraeducators, tutors, and volunteers as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance issues.	Identifies how they intend to provide guidance and direction to para-educators, tutors, and volunteers as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance issues. The ideas, however, are few and lack detail.	Identifies how they intend to provide guidance and direction to para-educators, tutors, and volunteers as related to special education laws, policies, procedures, and compliance issues. The ideas, however, are few, lack detail, and are not realistic.

EDSE 632 IDEA Compliance Research Paper -- Writing Conventions Rubric

	Exceeding Expectations (4.000 pts)	Meeting Expectations (3.000 pts)	Developing (2.000 pts)	Emerging (1.000 pt)
Written Communication (1.000, 33%)	Writing is organized and clear. Language reflects the terms used in the profession and there are virtually no errors in conventions of writing.	Writing is organized and clear. Language reflects the terms used in the profession and there are only minor errors in conventions of writing.	Writing is not consistently organized or clear. The language does not always reflect the terms used in the profession. These writing errors impact the readers understanding the content.	Writing is not organized or clear. Language does not reflect the terms used in the profession and the errors in conventions of writing make it very difficult to infer meaning.
Written Mechanics (1.000, 33%)	Mechanics, punctuation, and spelling are excellent (no errors) throughout the project.	The project has minor grammatical, punctuation, and/or spelling errors, however, the few minor errors do not interfere with comprehension.	The project has some major or several grammatical, punctuation and spelling errors. Some of these errors interfere with comprehension of the project.	The project has many writing, grammatical, and spelling errors. The mechanical errors are to such a degree that they seriously interfere with comprehension and intent of the project.
Citation of Sources (1.000, 33%)	The candidate includes a variety of in-text citations and collected references accurately following the latest APA style, having no citation errors. Additionally, quality sources (e.g., peer reviewed journals, books, professional magazines, and professional websites) are consistently used	The candidate includes a variety of in-text citations and collected references following the latest APA style, with few citation errors. Additionally, quality sources (e.g., peer reviewed journals, books, professional magazines, and professional websites) are primarily used.	The candidate includes a variety of in-text citations and collected references, however, there are several citation errors. Additionally, there is a mixture of acceptable (quality) and unacceptable (informal) resources used.	The candidate inconsistently cites sources within the text. The collected references only approximate APA reference citations. Additionally, informal sources are emphasized in the paper.

throughout the paper.

