FORMAT 1

Submit original with signatures + 1 copy + electronic copy to Faculty Senate (Box 7500). See $\frac{http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/}{complete \ description \ of \ the \ rules \ governing \ curriculum \ \& \ course \ changes.}$

TRIAL COURSE OR NEW COURSE PROPOSAL									
SUBMITTED BY:									
Department	Biology and	Wildlife		Colle	ge/Schoo	CNSM			
Prepared by	Prepared Denise Kind			Phone			474-6298		
Email Contact	ska.edu	u Faculty Contact				dmkind@alaska.edu			
1. ACTION D	Tri	al Cour	se		New (Course	X		
2. COURSE IDENTIFICATION:		V: Dept	BI	OL	Course #	679	No. Credi		2
Justify upper/lower division status & number of credits: This course meets for 2 hours each week and serves graduate students. Students are required to complete outside readings and assignments, including a project and paper. Active participation in class and regular preparation of materials to share is required.									
3. PROPOSED	COURSE TITLE	l:			Scientifi	ic Teaching			
4. To be CRO YES/NO	OSS LISTED?	Yes	I	f yes, Dept:	CHEM/ GEOS	Cours	Course # 679		
(Requires signatu	approval of bo	th departmen	ts and o	deans ir	volved.	Add lines	at end o	f form	for such
5. To be STACKED? YES/NO			I	f yes, Dept.	N/A	Cour	Course # N/A		
6. FREQUENCY	OF OFFERING	: Sprin	g, even-nui	mbered ye	ars				
		Fall,				or Even-n or As Dema			or Odd-
	& YEAR OF FI	RST OFFERI			pring 2012				
approved)									
8. COURSE FORMAT: NOTE: Course hours may not be compressed into fewer than three days per credit. Any course compressed into fewer than six weeks must be approved by the college or school's curriculum council. Furthermore, any core course compressed to less than six weeks must be approved by the core review committee. COURSE FORMAT: (check all that apply) 1 2 3 4 5 X 6 weeks to full semester									
OTHER FORM (specify)									
Mode of delivery (specify lecture, field trips, labs, etc) Seminar, discussion, workshop									
9. CONTACT	HOURS PER WEE	ZK:	_	TURE rs/weel	cs	LAB hours /w	reek		CTICUM
Note: # of credits are based on contact hours. 800 minutes of lecture=1 credit. 2400 minutes of lab in a science course=1 credit. 1600 minutes in non-science lab=1 credit. 2400-4800 minutes of practicum=1 credit. 2400-8000 minutes of internship=1 credit. This must match with the syllabus. See http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty/cd/credits.html for more information on number of credits.									
number of ci	redits.								

10 C	COMPLETE CATALOG DESCRIPTION including dept., number, title and credits (50 words or				
1	less, if possible):				
2 Th Em as st wh a pr in	credits Offered Spring nis course explores methods for teaching science at the university level. Inphasis is placed on methods of course design, instructional techniques, Inseessment and course management that have been shown by research to improve Inductional tearning. This course is intended for graduate students in the sciences In have an interest in improving their teaching skills. The course format will be In mixture of discussion, workshops and seminars. If the course is over-enrolled, In ciority will be given to teaching assistants who are assigned to teach large, Introductory level (100 or 200 level) courses during the semester they are taking In its course. Prerequisites: Graduate standing or permission of instructor. In coss-listed with GEOS 679 and CHEM 679. (2 + 0)				
2 Th Em as st wh a pr in	GEOS 679 2 credits Offered Spring This course explores methods for teaching science at the university level. Emphasis is placed on methods of course design, instructional techniques, assessment and course management that have been shown by research to improve student learning. This course is intended for graduate students in the sciences who have an interest in improving their teaching skills. The course format will be a mixture of discussion, workshops and seminars. If the course is over-enrolled, priority will be given to teaching assistants who are assigned to teach large, introductory level (100 or 200 level) courses during the semester they are taking this course. Prerequisites: Graduate standing or permission of instructor. Cross-listed with BIOL 679 and CHEM 679. (2 + 0)				
2 Th Em as st wh a pr in	CHEM 679 2 credits Offered Spring This course explores methods for teaching science at the university level. Emphasis is placed on methods of course design, instructional techniques, assessment and course management that have been shown by research to improve student learning. This course is intended for graduate students in the sciences who have an interest in improving their teaching skills. The course format will be a mixture of discussion, workshops and seminars. If the course is over-enrolled, priority will be given to teaching assistants who are assigned to teach large, introductory level (100 or 200 level) courses during the semester they are taking this course. Prerequisites: Graduate standing or permission of instructor. Cross-listed with GEOS 679 and BIOL 679. (2 + 0)				
О	11. COURSE CLASSIFICATIONS: (undergraduate courses only. Use approved criteria found on Page 10 & 17 of the manual. If justification is needed, attach on separate sheet.) H = Humanities S = Social Sciences Will this course be used to fulfill a requirement for the baccalaureate core? IF YES, check which core requirements it could be used to fulfill: O = Oral Intensive, Format 6 W = Writing Intensive, Format 7				
12.	COURSE REPEATABILITY:				
	Is this course repeatable for credit? YES NO X				
	Justification: Indicate why the course can be repeated (for example, the course follows a different theme each time).				
	How many times may the course be repeated for credit? N/A TIMES				
	If the course can be repeated with variable credit, what is the maximum number of credit hours that may be earned for this course? N/A				
13. G	RADING SYSTEM: Specify only one.				
	LETTER: X PASS/FAIL:				

RESTRICTIONS ON ENROLLMENT (if any) 14. PREREQUISITES Graduate student standing These will be required before the student is allowed to enroll in the course. 15. SPECIAL RESTRICTIONS, CONDITIONS If the course is over-enrolled, preference will be given to students who are actively teaching or TAing at the same time they are taking the course. 16. PROPOSED COURSE FEES \$0 Has a memo been submitted through your dean to the Provost & VCAS for Yes/No N/A

17. PREVIOUS HISTORY

Has the course been offered as special topics or trial course yes/No

If yes, give semester, year, course #, etc.:

BIOL/GEOS/CHEM/PHYS 693: Spring 2010 and Spring 2011 This course was co-taught by 6 instructors when it was first offered in Spring 2010. In Spring 2011 instructors Kind and Fowell revised the course based on the trial offering and received excellent

reviews from students.

- WHAT IMPACT, IF ANY, WILL THIS HAVE ON BUDGET, FACILITIES/SPACE, FACULTY, ETC.

 This course will have minimal impact on budget and facilities. Instructors Kind and Fowell have included this as a regular part of their respective workloads. Few materials are required, and a room is required for only one evening per week, for 2 hours. Virtually any room that will accommodate group discussions for up to 20 students can be used.
- 19. LIBRARY COLLECTIONS

 Have you contacted the library collection development officer (kljensen@alaska.edu, 474-6695) with regard to the adequacy of library/media collections, equipment, and services available for the proposed course? If so, give date of contact and resolution. If not, explain why not.

 No X Yes The articles we are using are available through the library's website. We are sure of this, based on the
- 20. IMPACTS ON PROGRAMS/DEPTS
 What programs/departments will be affected by this proposed action?

What programs/departments will be affected by this proposed action?

Include information on the Programs/Departments contacted (e.g., email, memo)

What are the course is a way in the CEOS and CHEM, and do not forward a professional action in the central action.

Whereas the course is cross-listed with GEOS and CHEM, we do not foresee any negative impacts on any of the departments involved. Other similar graduate courses on pedagogy are not available, so we do not expect to draw students away from alternative courses.

21. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS

18. ESTIMATED IMPACT

Please specify **positive and negative** impacts on other courses, programs and departments resulting from the proposed action.

The course an elective designed to improve the quality of instruction in undergraduate science labs and better prepare graduate students to enter the workforce as teachers, instructors or university faculty.

JUSTIFICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED

The purpose of the department and campus-wide curriculum committees is to scrutinize course change and new course applications to make sure that the quality of UAF education is not lowered as a result of the proposed change. Please address this in your response. This section needs to be self-explanatory. Use as much space as needed to fully justify the proposed course.

Minimal formal training is available to graduate students regarding how to be effective instructors, even though they are responsible for a great deal of instruction in laboratory and discussion settings. This makes things unnecessarily difficult for them, and for their faculty supervisors. Providing graduate students with training in effective course design and methods of instruction improves the quality of undergraduate courses and strengthens the graduate students' CV, making them stronger applicants for positions that have a teaching component. By offering this course, we are improving undergraduate instruction, teaching graduate students valuable skills and creating a pool of trained applicants for positions that include teaching.

APPROVALS: SEE ATTACHED SIGNATURES.				
	Date			
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:				
	Date			
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculu Council for:				
	Date			
Signature, Dean, College/School of:				
	Date			
Offerings above the level of approved programs must be approved in advance by the Provost. ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE GOVERNANCE OFFICE				
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION T	TO THE GOVERNANCE OFFICE			
	Date			
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee				
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum				
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum	Date			
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and	Date			
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee	Date nd/or stacking)			
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	Date nd/or stacking)			
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and Signature, Chair,	Date nd/or stacking) Date			

APPROVALS:		
Me A Lebert	Date	29 500 2011
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of: Biology + Wildli	ik	
Signature, Chair, Colloge/School Cup/Iculum Council for:	Date	5 Oct 2011
Mullid aux	Date	Oct 5, 2011
Signature, Dean, College/School of:	Date	3, 201
	Date	
Signature of Provost (if applicable) Offerings above the level of approved programs must be approved in	advance b	y the Provost.
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO	THE GOVI	ERNANCE OFFICE
	Date [
Charles Charles LIAC Canada Canada Constantino Destruction Committee		
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee		
Signature, Chair, UAF Faculty Senate Curriculum Review Committee ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking)		
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking)	ng) Date	9/28/11
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking)	ng) Date	9/28/11
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking and/or st	ng) Date	9/28/11
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking)	ng) Date	9/28/11
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	ng) Date	9/28/11
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking and/or st	Date Date	9/28/11
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	Date Date Date	9/28/11
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for: Signature, Dean, College/School of:	Date Date Date	9/28/11 29 Sep 2011
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for: Signature, Dean, College/School of: Signature, Dean, College/School of:	Date Date Date Date	
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for: Signature, Dean, College/School of: Signature, Chair, Program/Department of: Chair, Program/Department	Date Date Date Date	
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of: Geology + beophys Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for: Signature, Dean, College/School of: ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking	Date Date Date Date	

ATTACH COMPLETE SYLLABUS (as part of this application).

Note: The guidelines are online: http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty/cd/syllabus.html

The department and campus wide curriculum committees will review the syllabus to ensure that each of the items listed below are included. If items are missing or unclear, the proposed course change will be <u>denied</u>.

SYLLABUS CHECKLIST FOR ALL UAF COURSES

During the first week of class, instructors will distribute a course syllabus. Although modifications may be made throughout the semester, this document will contain the following information (as applicable to the discipline):

1.	Course information: □Title, □ number, □credits, □prerequisites, □ location, □ meeting time (make sure that contact hours are in line with credits).
2.	Instructor (and if applicable, Teaching Assistant) information: □ Name, □ office location, □ office hours, □ telephone, □ email address.
3.	Course readings/materials: □ Course textbook title, □ author, □ edition/publisher. □ Supplementary readings (indicate whether □ required or □ recommended) and □ any supplies required.
4.	Course description: □ Content of the course and how it fits into the broader curriculum; □ Expected proficiencies required to undertake the course, if applicable. □ Inclusion of catalog description is <i>strongly</i> recommended, and □ Description in syllabus must be consistent with catalog course description.
5.	□ Course Goals (general), and (see #6)
6.	□ Student Learning Outcomes (more specific)
7.	Instructional methods: □ Describe the teaching techniques (eg: lecture, case study, small group discussion, private instruction, studio instruction, values clarification, games, journal writing, use of Blackboard, audio/video conferencing, etc.).
8.	Course calendar: ☐ A schedule of class topics and assignments must be included. Be specific so that it is clear that the instructor has thought this through and will not be making it up on the fly (e.g. it is not adequate to say "lab". Instead, give each lab a title that describes its content). You may call the outline Tentative or Work in Progress to allow for modifications during the semester.
9.	Course policies: ☐ Specify course rules, including your policies on attendance, tardiness, class participation, make-up exams, and plagiarism/academic integrity.
10	D. Evaluation: □ Specify how students will be evaluated, □ what factors will be included, □ their relative value, and □ how they will be tabulated into grades (on a curve, absolute scores, etc.)
11	 Support Services: □ Describe the student support services such as tutoring (local and/or regional) appropriate for the course.
12	P. Disabilities Services: The Office of Disability Services implements the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and insures that UAF students have equal access to the campus and course materials. □ State that you will work with the Office of Disabilities Services (208 WHIT, 474-5655) to provide reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities."

Biology 6xx / Chemistry 6xx / Geosciences 6xx Special Topics in Scientific Teaching Spring 2012

This syllabus and schedule are subject to change. Any changes will be announced in class.

Instructors:

Denise Kind PhD, Biology and Wildlife Email: dmkind@alaska.edu
Office: 309 Bunnell
Office phone: 474-6298
Office hours: By appointment

Sarah Fowell PhD, Geology and Geophysics
Email: sjfowell@alaska.edu
Office: 326 REIC
Office phone: 474-7810
Office hours: By appointment

Credits: 2

Meeting Time and Location: 308 Bunnell, Monday, 6:00-8:00 pm

Course Materials:

•Handelsman, Jo, Sarah Miller and Christine Pfund. 2007. <u>Scientific Teaching</u>. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company.

- •See the syllabus for additional reading assignments and citations. Articles are available through the library's on-line journals.
- •You will be expected to prepare and share materials for courses that you teach, particularly any course that you are currently working with.

Course Description:

This course explores methods for teaching science at the university level. Emphasis is placed on methods of course design, instructional techniques, assessment and course management that have been shown by research to improve student learning. This course is intended for graduate students in the sciences who have an interest in improving their teaching skills. This course will become a component of an instructor training program that is currently under development. The course format will be a mixture of discussions, workshops and seminars. If the course is overenrolled, priority will be given to teaching assistants who are assigned to teach large, introductory level (100 or 200 level) courses during the semester they are taking this course.

Course Purpose:

Our goal is to prepare you to design your own quality undergraduate science courses and strengthen your professional resume. Quality instruction of undergraduate courses is essential to the development of skilled, highly-knowledgeable undergraduates. Good instructional skills, although they take time and effort to acquire, ultimately make an instructor a better and more efficient teacher. This course aims to develop instructional skills of graduate students who are currently teaching undergraduate-level courses and/or labs, and prepare them for careers that may have a strong teaching component to them. This includes not only tenure-track professorial positions, but any positions which require the ability to explain and teach things to others.

By the end of the semester, you will be able to:

1. Design a *teachable unit*. This is an integrated, 2-3 week block of topics, activities, laboratory exercises and assessments, constructed around clearly stated learning goals (things students should know or be able to do upon completion of the unit). Teachable units are the building blocks of a well-designed undergraduate or graduate science course!

- **2.** Present a 10-minute activity that employs *active learning strategies* and frame it in the context of your teachable unit.
 - **3.** Construct and maintain a *learner-centered classroom*.
- **4.** Draft a *teaching philosophy* that reflects understanding of current educational research and how students learn. Such philosophies are a standard part of a college or university faculty application. A philosophy that incorporates active learning strategies, student-centered outcomes and a variety of assessment tools is crucial for positions that involve aspects of teaching and curriculum design.

Course Goals

- to help students and instructors improve their ability to teach both course content and the analytical skills undergraduates need to carry out inquiry-based science
- to familiarize students with the best teaching practices, as established by research
- to provide students with the skills and support to implement active learning in their classrooms
- to provide students with the opportunity to experiment with new instructional and assessment techniques and discuss how well they worked
- to encourage students to reflect on instructional techniques they use and how well suited they are to the students in a particular class
- to familiarize students with resources available to support these goals

Specific Student Learning Outcomes

- apply backwards design to develop a teachable unit
- use active and inquiry-based learning in the classroom and the lab
- employ a variety of different teaching techniques to reach a diverse group of students and explain to students why they should take advantage of multiple approaches to learning
- effectively design and use both formative and summative assessments
- integrate a variety of assessment formats into courses
- clearly communicate course and assessment expectations and standards to students
- develop a classroom management strategy to enhance student learning
- use various tools to assess your own efficacy as an instructor and make adjustments

Grading: Teachable units, presentations, participation, reading assessments and teaching philosophies will be graded according to the following scale: 100-90% = A, 89% = A-, 88% = B+, 87-80% = B,

$$79\% = B-$$
, $78\% = C+$, $77-70\% = C$, $69\% = C-$, $68\% = D+$, $67-60\% = D$, $59\% = D-$, $<59\% = F$.

Course Policies:

Attendance: Active participation in each class is expected. Lecture participation points cannot be made up. Make-up of reading assessments is granted solely at the discretion of the instructors. Students are allowed 2 excused absences per semester with no impact on their final grade. Additional absences (three or more) will decrease the final grade by one step per absence (e.g. from a A- to a B+ or from a B+ to a B).

Academic Honesty: Students are required to follow UAF's policies on academic honesty. These are readily available through UAF's website (www.uaf.edu). Unfamiliarity with the policies is not considered an acceptable reason for violating them. In particular, plagiarism of any type will not be tolerated. Any instances of academic dishonesty will be acted on by the instructors, and will result in a grade of zero on that work. It may also result in the student receiving an F in the course and forwarding of the incident to the appropriate university personnel for further action.

Disabilities: Students with disabilities are welcome in this class. If you work with Disabilities Services, please inform the instructors at the beginning of the course so that the appropriate accommodations can be made for you.

Grading Scheme:

Item	Portion of
	Final Grade
active participation in and preparation for weekly discussions	20%
performance on weekly reading assessments	20%
presentation to group of a learning activity prepared as part of	20%
your teachable unit – focused on a particular objective of	
the unit, approximately 10 minutes in length *	
preparation of a teachable unit that includes active learning	20%
strategies, lab activities, and both formative and	
summative assessments with an explanation of how each	
of these will further the stated goals and objectives*	
a written, formal statement of personal teaching philosophy*	20%

^{*}If this item fails to score a B or higher on the rubrics used to assess performance, additional revision and resubmission may be required. Rubrics will be provided to students in advance of these assignments. The revised work will also be graded according to the rubric and the appropriate grade assigned.

Schedule for Spring 2012, BIOL/CHEM/GEOS/PHYS 693P: Special Topics in Scientific Teaching (subject to change)

Date	Topic	Due at start of class
Jan. 23	How People Learn; What Active Learning Is and Isn't	Armbruster et al. 2009Knight & Wood 2005
Jan. 30	Bloom's Taxonomy – How to help students develop analytical skills and think "like a scientist"; designing formative and summative assessments to develop and evaluate these skills; when and how to grade; rubrics	 Handelsman et al., Ch 1 & 3 Harris 2002 Kruger and Dunning 1999 Bring an exam from an undergraduate course to examine
Feb. 6	Backward Design – using goals and objectives to drive course design; Goals and Objectives – writing useful ones; How to use concept inventories	 Stokes et al. 2007 D'Avanzo 2008 Libarkin & Anderson 2005
Feb. 13	Teaching in the Laboratory Setting – types of labs; Peer review of goals and objectives	 Casotti et al. 2008 Apedoe et al. 2006 Bring a lab that you've done (not the supplies, but the written exercise) Goals and objectives for a teachable unit
Feb. 20	Teachable Unit – What is a teachable unit and how can an instructor develop a really good one? Examples and rubric Debunking learning styles	 Handelsman et al., Ch 5 Gautier et al. 2006 Pashler et al. 2009
Feb. 27	Active Learning I – active learning as a formative assessment tool; audience response systems (clickers), think-pair-share, case studies, and how to use them Work on rubric for grading class presentations; Examples of submitted activities	 Handelsman et al., Ch. 2 Greer & Heaney 2004 Karpicke & Blunt 2011 Lesson plan for a teachable unit with revised goals and objectives
Mar. 5	Active Learning II – other techniques to engage students: minute papers, strip sequences, concept maps and concept diagrams	 Englebrecht et al. 2005 McConnell et al. 2003 Description of an activity for your teachable unit, with goals, objectives, and assessment method
Mar. 12	Spring Break	
Mar. 19	Active Learning III – engagement continued: kinesthetic activities and modeling processes Sample teaching philosophies and teaching	Haak et al. 2011Moravec et al. 2010

philosophy rubric; 5-paragraph essay format and	
paper organization; structure of sample philosophies	

Mar. 26	Writing a Teaching Philosophy – what a teaching philosophy is and how to write a great one	 O'Neal et al. 2007 sample teaching philosophies Map organization of 2 writing samples Revised teachable unit + activity
Apr. 2	Group Work I – Brainstorming, jigsaw exercises; jigsaw assignment (Need better group writing paper) Peer evaluation of teaching philosophies	 McConnell et al. 2005 Shimazoe & Aldrich 2010 Felder & Brent 2001 Draft of teaching
Apr. 9	Group Work II – Jigsaw wrap-up, peer instruction and collaborative thinking	 philosophy Crouch & Mazur 2001 Yuretich et al. 2001 Your piece of the jigsaw Revised teaching philosophy
Apr. 16	Inquiry-Based Learning – the difference between investigative labs, guided inquiry and open inquiry learning	 Justice et al., 2007 Final teachable unit
Apr. 23	Student Presentations of Teachable Unit, Outcomes, and One Complete Activity	 teachable unit presentation Final teaching philosophy
Apr. 30	Student Presentations (continued)	teachable unit presentation

Full citations for articles:

- Apedoe, X., S. Walker and T. Reeves. 2006. Integrating inquiry-based learning into undergraduate geology. Journal of Geoscience Education 54(3):414-421.
- Armbruster, P., M. Patel, E. Johnson and M. Weiss. 2009. Active learning and student-centered pedagogy improve student attitudes and performance in introductory biology. CBE Life Sciences Education 8:203-213.
- Casotti, G., L. Rieser-Danner and M. Knabb. 2008. Successful implementation of inquiry-based physiology laboratories in undergraduate major and nonmajor courses. Advances in Physiology Education 32:286-296.
- Crouch, C., and E. Mazur. 2001. Peer instruction: Ten years of experience and results. American Journal of Physics 69(9):970-977.
- D'Avanzo, C. 2008. Biology concept inventories: Overview, status and next steps. BioScience 58(11):1079-1085.
- Englebrecht, A., J. Mintzes, L. Brown and P. Kelso. 2005. Probing understanding in physical geology using concept maps and clinical interviews. Journal of Geoscience Education 53(3):263-270.

- Felder, R.M., and R. Brent. 2001. Effective strategies for cooperative learning. Journal of Cooperation & Collaboration in College Teaching 10(2): 69-75.
- Gautier, C., K. Deutsch and S. Rebich. 2006. Misconceptions about the greenhouse effect. Journal of Geoscience Education 54(3):386-395.
- Greer, L. and P. Heaney. 2004. Real-time analysis of student comprehension: An assessment of electronic student response technology in an introductory earth science course. Journal of Geoscience Education 52(4):345-351
- Haak, D.C., J. HilleRisLambers, E. Pitre and S. Freeman. 2011. Increased structure and active learning reduce the achievement gap in introductory biology. Science 332:1213-1213. (supplemental materials available at 10.1126/science.1204820)
- Harris, M. 2002. Developing geosciences student-learning centered courses. Journal of Geoscience Education 50(5):515-523.
- Justice, C., J. Rice, W. Warry, S. Inglis, S. Miller and S. Sammon. 2007. Inquiry in higher education: Reflections and directions on course design and teaching methods. Innovations in Higher Education 31:201-214.
- Karpicke, J.D., and J.R. Blunt. 2011. Retrieval practice produces more learning than elaborative studying with concept mapping. Science 331:772-775.
- Knight, J. and W. Wood. 2005. Teaching more by lecturing less. Cell Biology Education 4:298-310.
- Kruger, J., and D. Dunning. 1999. Unskilled and unaware of it: How difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 77(6): 1121-1134.
- Libarkin, J., and S. Anderson. 2005. Assessment of learning in entry-level geosciences courses: Results from the geosciences concept inventory. Journal of Geoscience Education 53(4):394-401.
- McConnell, D., D. Steer, and K. Owens. 2003. Assessment and active learning strategies for introductory geology courses. Journal of Geoscience Education 51(2):205-216.
- McConnell, D., D. Steer, K. Owens and C. Knight. 2005. How students think: Implications for learning in introductory geosciences courses. Journal of Geoscience Education 53(4):462-470.
- Moravec, M., A. Williams, N. Aguilar-Roca and D.K. O'Dowd. 2010. Learn before lecture: A strategy that improves learning outcomes ina large introductory biology class. CBE-Life Science Education 9:473-481.
- O'Neal, C., D. Meizlish and M. Kaplan. 2007. Writing a statement of teaching philosophy for the academic job search. CRLT Occasional Papers, Center for Research on Learning and Teaching, University of Michigan, No. 23. Available at http://www.crlt.umich.edu/publinks/occasional.php
- Pashler, H., M. McDaniel, D. Rohrer and R. Bjork. 2009. Learning Styles: Concepts and Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest 9:105-119.
- Rushton, A. 2005. Formative assessment: A key to deep learning? Medical Teacher 27(6):509-513.
- Shimazoe, J., and H. Aldrich. 2010. Group work can be gratifying: Understanding and overcoming resistance to cooperative learning. College Teaching 58:52-57.
- Stokes, A., H. King and J. Libarkin. 2007. Research in science education: Threshold concepts. Journal of Geoscience Education 55(5):434-438.
- Yuretich, R.F., S.A. Khan, R.M. Leckie, and J.J. Clement. 2001. Active-learning methods to improve student performance and scientific interest in a large introductory oceanography course. Journal of Geoscience Education 49 (2): 111-119.

Additional readings (not required, strictly for your own interest):

- Anderson, D., K. Fisher and G. Norman. 2002. Development and evaluation of the conceptual inventory of natural selection. Journal of Research in Science Teaching 39(10):952-978.
- Crowe, A., C. Dirks and M.P. Wenderoth. 2008. Biology in Bloom: Implementing Bloom's Taxonomy to enhance student learning in biology. CBE Life Sciences Education 7:368-381.

- Ehrlinger, J., K. Johnson, M. Banner, D. Dunning and J. Kruger. 2007. Why the unskilled are unaware: Further explorations of (absent) self-insight among the incompetent. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes 105:98-121.
- Kinchin, I. 2010. Solving Cordelia's Dilemma: Threshold concepts within a punctuated model of learning. Journal of Biological Education 44(2):53-57.
- Klymkowsky, M., and K. Garvin-Doxas. 2008. Recognizing student misconceptions through Ed's Tools and the Biology Concept Inventory. PLOS Biology 6(1):14-17.
- Musante, S. 2009. You're teaching, but how do you know they're learning? BioScience 79(7):557.
- Roediger III, H., and J. Karpicke. 2006. The power of testing memory: basic research and implications for educational practice. Perspectives on Psychological Science 3:181-210.
- Smith, M., W. Wood and J. Knight. 2008. The genetics concept assessment: A new concept inventory for gauging student understanding of genetics. CBE Life Sciences Education 7:422-430.