26-UNC

Submit original with signatures + 1 copy + electronic copy to Faculty Senate (Box 7500).

See http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/ for a complete description of the rules governing curriculum & course changes.

T'DTAT.	COTTREE	OP NI	TW (COLLDCE	PROPOSAL	
INIAL	COOKSE	OK IVI	un c	COURSE	FROFUSAL	
	(Attach	CODIT	of	CTT 7 7 7 1	27.6.1	
	(ALLacii	COPY	$O_{\mathcal{L}}$	SYLLAL	Jus J	

Department	Social and Hu	ıman	Coll	ege/School	-			CRCD
Prepared	Development Veronica Plur		Phor	ıe				455-2038
by	veronica Piur	11D	2.1.0					455-2056
Email Contact	vmplumb@a	aska.edu	Facu	lty Contac	t	,	Veronic	a Plumb
1. ACTION I	DESIRED (CHECK ONE)	: Trial	Course		New Co	urse	X	
2. COURSE I	DENTIFICATION	: Dept	ECE	Course #	345	No.		3
division	apper/lower status & credits:	Course relies on 20 level ECE courses expectations of up	with the amo	unt of coursewor				
B. PROPOSED	COURSE TITLE		Screening	, Assessment a	nd Data Coll	ection T	ools	
4. To be CR	OSS LISTED? YES/NO	No	If yes Dept		Course	#		
	s-listing requi form for additi				deans inv	olved.	Add 1:	ines at
. To be ST.	ACKED?* YES/NO	No	If yes Dept		Cou	rse #		
Review Commitsyllabi (under what are suppressions are being offered undertaxed?	oi. Stacked countee and by the ergraduate and goosed to be two sufficiently did of this context ourse. Typically op of this page.	Graduate Acade raduate version different cour fferent (i.e. graduates bein , the committe , if either co	mic and Adns) will be ses. The cois there uses overtaxees are locality	vising Comm. elp emphasi ommittees w. ndergraduated?; 3) are ex	ittee. Create the difficial determine and graduate straight the inter	ating to the second sec	wo diff qualiti whethe evel con being of the s	erent es of r the tw tent tudents
. FREQUENC	Y OF OFFERING:	As Deman	d Warrants					
		Fall, Spr		er (Every, o Years) — or				or Odd-
Effective A	& YEAR OF FIR AY2015-16 if a otherwise AY20	pproved by		2016-2017 Aca	ademic Year			
compressed in council. Furt Core Review (COURSE FOR (check all	hours may not hoto fewer than sthermore, any committee. MAT: that apply)	six weeks must	be approve	ed by the co	llege or s	chool's	approve	ulum
(specify)	14	D' 4 31 ==						
Mode of de (specify l		Distance Audio, F	ace to Face					

е	tc)							
	CONTACT HOURS PER WE		3 LECTURE hours/weeks	LAB hours /	The state of the s	ek		
	ote:					es		
m	of lab in a science course=1 credit. 1600 minutes in non-science lab=1 credit. 2400-4800 minutes of practicum=1 credit. 2400-8000 minutes of internship=1 credit. This must match with the syllabus. See http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-							
	guidelines-for-computing					CS		
	HER HOURS (specify							
ty	pe)							
	COMPLETE CATALOG DESC							
	distribution, cross-l ple of a complete desc		or stacking (50) words or le	ss if possible):			
		ries Managem	ent					
	3 Credits Offere	ed Spring						
	Theory and practice outilized for the mana				s on strategies es. <i>Prerequisites: CO</i>	ЭММ		
	F131X or COMM F141X;	ENGL F111X;	ENGL F211X or H	ENGL F213X; E	NGL F414; FISH F425;			
	permission of instruc	tor. Cross-	-listed with NRM	M F487. (3+0)				
E	CCE F345 Screening, Ass	essment and I	Data Collection To	ools				
	credits Offered as Den							
	Overview of the diverse ran							
	lassroom assessment, data					1		
		•	• • •		nent of a high quality earl Prerequisites ENGL 211X	-		
	r 213X (3+0)	ient una positi	ve outcomes for ye	ding children. 1	rerequisites EIVOE 2117	_		
	<u> </u>							
11.	COURSE CLASSIFICATION Council to apply S or				with CLA Curriculum ise leave fields blan	nk.		
	H = Humanities	11 010001111		ial Sciences				
	Will this course be for the baccalaurea				YES: NO: X			
	IF YES, check which				ulfill:			
	O = Oral Intensive	е,	W = Writing Inter	nsive,	X = Baccalaureate			
	Format	6	FOI	rmat 7	Core			
11.A					ar studies? If yes, a			
		will be adde	ed in the printe	ed Catalog, a	nd flagged in Banner.	•		
		20		NO A				
12.	COURSE REPEATABILITY Is this course repeat		YES	NO :	х			
	credit?	abic for	125		A			
	Justification: Indi	cate why the	e course can					
	be repeated (for exa		ourse follows	N/A				
	a different theme ea	cii time).						
	How many times may t	he course be	e repeated for o	credit?	N/A TIMES			
	If the course can be					TS		
	number of credit hou				1412			
	If the course can be	repeated wi	tti variable cre	eart, what is	the N/A CREDIT	LS		

maximum number of credit hours that may be earned for this course?
13 CDADING GYGRING. G. J. S. J. J. S. J. S
 GRADING SYSTEM: Specify only one. Note: Changing the grading system for a conlater on constitutes a Major Course Change - Format 2 form.
LETTER: X PASS/FAIL:
RESTRICTIONS ON ENROLLMENT (if any)
14. PREREOUISITES
These will be required before the student is allowed to enroll in the course
inose will be required before the beddene is dirowed to enfort in the course
15. SPECIAL RESTRICTIONS, None
CONDITIONS
16. PROPOSED COURSE FEES N/A
Has a memo been submitted through your dean to the Provost for fee N/A
approval? Yes/No
17. PREVIOUS HISTORY Has the course been offered as special topics or trial course No
previously?
Yes/No
If yes, give semester, year, N/A
course #, etc.:
18. ESTIMATED IMPACT
WHAT IMPACT, IF ANY, WILL THIS HAVE ON BUDGET, FACILITIES/SPACE, FACULTY, ETC.
No Significant Impact
19. LIBRARY COLLECTIONS
Have you contacted the library collection development officer (kljensen@alaska.ed
474-6695) with regard to the adequacy of library/media collections, equipment, as services available for the proposed course? If so, give date of contact and
resolution. If not, explain why not.
No X Yes There will not be an impact on Library services, Curren
Journals and literature will be enhanced with online resources and direct screening and assessment tools.
20. IMPACTS ON PROGRAMS/DEPTS What programs/departments will be affected by this proposed action?
Include information on the Programs/Departments contacted (e.g., email, memo)
The only program impacted by this proposal will be the Child Development and Family Studies (CDF)
program who is submitting this proposal. There will not be any direct or indirect impact to the Early Childhood Education AAS program courses or schedule.
Canadicoa Zadention into program courses of senedules
21. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS
Please specify positive and negative impacts on other courses, programs and departments resulting from the proposed action.
One positive impact will be strengthening of the partnership between the CDFS program and the State

Alaska Quality Improvement System - Learn and Grow, as well as the State of Alaska Child Care

Licensing who have worked together with the CDFS faculty to develop this course.

JUSTIFICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED

The purpose of the department and campuswide curriculum committees is to scrutinize course change and new course applications to make sure that the quality of UAF education is not lowered as a result of the proposed change. Please address this in your response. This section needs to be selfexplanatory. Use as much space as needed to fully justify the proposed course.

Currently, the State of Alaska is working to develop a Quality Recognition and Improvement System (QRIS) titled Learn & Grow. Learn & Grow, like many other QRIS systems across the nation, is designed to provide a framework of quality standards for all Early Care and Learning programs in Alaska. Quality standards include: Professional Development and Training, Administration and Leadership, Health & Safety, Relationships and Learning Environment, and Family Engagement.

One of the first stages of continuous quality improvement activities that a program will be expected to complete is an early childhood administrator credential. The purpose of the credential is to provide early childhood administrators with the necessary skills and knowledge to successfully take their program through the various levels of quality identified by Learn & Grow. In collaboration with the University of Alaska Fairbanks, THREAD, the State of Alaska Child Care Programs office, and a team of early childcare program and family child care administrators, Learn & Grow has developed the administrator credential. This credential is intended to align with the UAF Administration Concentration within the CDFS B.A. level program with a few adaptions and additions to assure both center and family early childhood administrators have access to the necessary content regarding Learn & Grow quality standards.

This new course proposal is the first step for alignment to the Alaska QRIS Learn & Grow. Continuing steps will be development of a 2nd class to work with early childhood program administrators in the area of coaching and mentoring of early childhood teaching staff. This will be followed by official changes within the Administration Concentration requirements for CDFS students. The final goal is to strengthen the Administration Concentration, which is the last to be revised and changed to meet state and industry needs. The other three concentrations were revised in 2012 with changes taking effect fall of 2013/14.

			Date	October 6, 2015
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	Social a	nd Human De	evelopm	nent
			Date	
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:				
			Date	
Signature, Dean, College/School of:				
Offerings above the level of apporthe Provost.	roved pro	grams must	be app	proved in advance by
			Date	

JUSTIFICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED

The purpose of the department and campus-wide curriculum committees is to scrutinize course change and new course applications to make sure that the quality of UAF education is not lowered as a result of the proposed change. Please address this in your response. This section needs to be self-explanatory. Use as much space as needed to fully justify the proposed course.

Currently, the State of Alaska is working to develop a Quality Recognition and Improvement System (QRIS) titled Learn & Grow. Learn & Grow, like many other QRIS systems across the nation, is designed to provide a framework of quality standards for all Early Care and Learning programs in Alaska. Quality standards include: Professional Development and Training, Administration and Leadership, Health & Safety, Relationships and Learning Environment, and Family Engagement.

One of the first stages of continuous quality improvement activities that a program will be expected to complete is an early childhood administrator credential. The purpose of the credential is to provide early childhood administrators with the necessary skills and knowledge to successfully take their program through the various levels of quality identified by Learn & Grow. In collaboration with the University of Alaska Fairbanks, THREAD, the State of Alaska Child Care Programs office, and a team of early childcare program and family child care administrators, Learn & Grow has developed the administrator credential. This credential is intended to align with the UAF Administration Concentration within the CDFS B.A. level program with a few adaptions and additions to assure both center and family early childhood administrators have access to the necessary content regarding Learn & Grow quality standards.

This new course proposal is the first step for alignment to the Alaska QRIS Learn & Grow. Continuing steps will be development of a 2^{nd} class to work with early childhood program administrators in the area of coaching and mentoring of early childhood teaching staff. This will be followed by official changes within the Administration Concentration requirements for CDFS students. The final goal is to strengthen the Administration Concentration, which is the last to be revised and changed to meet state and industry needs. The other three concentrations were revised in 2012 with changes taking effect fall of 2013/14.

Veronica Plumb	DN: cn=Veronica	by Veronica Plumb a Plumb, o, ou, email=vmplumb@afaska.edu, c=US 5 20:15:25 -08'00'	Date	October 6, 2015
Signature, Chair, Program/De	epartment of:	Social and Human Deve		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Signature, Chair, College/Sch	MU pool Curriculum	n Council for:	Date	10/9/2015
Petu Pun Signature, Dean, College/Sch	~~1	CRCD	Date	10/8/15
000				
Offerings above the level of a	approved prog	rams must be approved in	advance b	y the Provost.
			advance b Date	by the Provost.
Signature of Provost (if above	level of appro	ved programs)	Date	
Signature of Provost (if above LL SIGNATURES MUST BE O	level of appro	ved programs) OR TO SUBMISSION TO	Date THE GOV Date	

ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or stacking)

ATTACH COMPLETE SYLLABUS (as part of this application). This list is online at:
http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/uaf-syllabus-requirements/
The Faculty Senate curriculum committees will review the syllabus to ensure that each of
le items listed below are included. If items are missing or unclear, the proposed course
(or changes to it) may be <u>denied</u> .
SYLLABUS CHECKLIST FOR ALL UAF COURSES
During the first week of class, instructors will distribute a course syllabus. Although
modifications may be made throughout the semester, this document will contain the
following information (as applicable to the discipline):
1. Course information:
lacktriangleTitle, $lacktriangle$ number, $lacktriangle$ credits, $lacktriangle$ prerequisites, $lacktriangle$ location, $lacktriangle$ meeting time
(make sure that contact hours are in line with credits).
2. Instructor (and if applicable, Teaching Assistant) information:
☐ Name, ☐ office location, ☐ office hours, ☐ telephone, ☐ email address.
3. Course readings/materials:
☐ Course textbook title, ☐ author, ☐ edition/publisher.
\square Supplementary readings (indicate whether \square required or \square recommended) and
lacksquare any supplies required.
4. Course description:
lacktriangle Content of the course and how it fits into the broader curriculum;
☐ Expected proficiencies required to undertake the course, if applicable.
☐ Inclusion of catalog description is <i>strongly</i> recommended, and
Description in syllabus must be consistent with catalog course description.
5. U Course Goals (general), and (see #6)
6. Student Learning Outcomes (more specific)
Instructional methods:
Describe the teaching techniques (eg: lecture, case study, small group discussion,
private instruction, studio instruction, values clarification, games, journal writing,
use of Blackboard, audio/video conferencing, etc.).
8. Course calendar:
☐ A schedule of class topics and assignments must be included. Be specific so that it
is clear that the instructor has thought this through and will not be making it up on the
fly (e.g. it is not adequate to say "lab". Instead, give each lab a title that describes
its content). You may call the outline Tentative or Work in Progress to allow for
modifications during the semester.
9. Course policies:
☐ Specify course rules, including your policies on attendance, tardiness, class
participation, make-up exams, and plagiarism/academic integrity.
10. Evaluation:
lacktriangle Specify how students will be evaluated, $lacktriangle$ what factors will be included, $lacktriangle$ their
relative value, and \square how they will be tabulated into grades (on a curve, absolute
scores, etc.) Publicize UAF regulations with regard to the grades of "C" and below as
applicable to this course. (Not required in the syllabus, but is a convenient way to
publicize this.) Link to PDF summary of grading policy for "C":
http://www.uaf.edu/files/uafgov/Info-to-Publicize-C Grading-Policy-UPDATED-May-2013.pdf
11. Support Services:
Describe the student support services such as tutoring (local and/or regional)
appropriate for the course.
12. Disabilities Services: Note that the phone# and location have been updated.
tp://www.uaf.edu/disability/ The Office of Disability Services implements the Americans
with Disabilities Act (ADA), and ensures that UAF students have equal access to the
campus and course materials.
State that you will work with the Office of Disabilities Services (208 WHITAKER BLDG, 474-5655) to provide reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities.

University of Alaska Fairbanks

College of Rural and Community Development Child Development and Family Studies (CDFS)

Course Syllabus

Course Title: Seminar on Screening, Assessment and Data Collection Tools

Course No: ECE 345 **Credits:** 3 (3 +0)

Prerequisites: ENGL 211X or ENGL 213X **Instructor:** TBA (Veronica Plumb)

Phone: TBA (907-455-2038)

E-mail: TBA (vmplumb@alaska.edu)

Office or Contact hours: Instructor will post office hours for students at first class.

Location: Distance Delivered (Cross-regional audio) OR Face to Face

Dates: TBA Times: TBA

Audio Information: Call in Toll Free (Appropriate Information inserted)

To listen to class recordings, (Appropriate Information inserted)

Text: No Text required

All screening, and assessment tools will be share in class and used as required readings.

Assorted readings will be in the form of articles and research literature that has been developed on the diverse screening and assessment tools that we will be covering in this course.

Examples of possible articles and literature are listed below:

Ringwalt, Sharon (2008) Developmental Screening and Assessment Instruments with an Emphasis on Social and Emotional Development for Young Children Ages Birth through Five. Chapel Hill NC, NECTAC

WA State Collaborative. (2008) *Guide to Assessment In Early Childhood: Infancy to Age Eight*. Olympia WA. State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction

Course Description:

Overview of the diverse range of tools available to be used for screening, ongoing child and classroom assessment, data collection and reporting of findings within early childhood programs. Each tool has a distinct purpose and function, however when used in conjunction with each other support the development of a high quality early care and learning environment and positive outcomes for young children.

Course Goal:

Students will learn about and compare different types of tools commonly used for early childhood programs in areas of screening, ongoing child and classroom assessment and data collection.

Student Learning Objectives/Outcomes:

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

- Compare the characteristics of diverse screening, ongoing assessment tools. NAEYC Standard 3a.
- Explain how to choose screening, ongoing assessment and data collection tools within an early childhood program.
- Develop a plan for use of screening, ongoing assessment and data collection within an early childhood program.
 - NAEYC Standard 3c
- Articulate the roles of families, teaching staff and program administration in regards to screening, ongoing assessment and data collection.
 - NAEYC Standard 3d

Description of Instructional Methods

The method of instruction will be a combination of reading assignments, written assignments, Blackboard (Bb), and field work. Students will demonstrate their understandings of course content and proficiency of skill through assignments and discussion. All assignments will be communicated through the assignments section of the course Bb site. Other communication will take place through email.

Requirements and Assignments:

Upper Division writing and critical analysis skills are required for this course. Written assignments and projects are to be formatted using APA and typed. Font size should be 10 - 12, depending on the type of font. Spacing between lines should be 2.0. Black ink on white paper is strongly preferred. Color graphics are acceptable, but not necessary.

Assessment Tool Action Project will be an action research project demonstrating your knowledge and use of an Environmental Rating Scale discussed in this course in conjunction with an action plan of use within an early childhood program. Choice of tools well be determined by each student and will be relevant to current early childhood program of which they are employed.

The paper will be written using APA formatting.

• Final Project and positing due - To Be Determined

Content Assignments will be directly related to the course topic, students will respond to assorted screening, ongoing assessment and data collection tools with written responses. All assignments will be completed using APA formatting. Written responses should be a minimum of 2 pages in length and will include a description of the environment were the tool would most efficiently be used; place, ages of children and size of group, description of facilitation and personal thoughts in regards to use in each student's current working environment.

Ending Reflection:

This will be a complete documentation of your knowledge acquisition and skill growth around tools used for screening, ongoing assessment and data collection.

Course Evaluation:

A letter grade will be issued for participants. (Note that you must receive a C or higher for this course to count towards the Learn & Grow: Alaska's Quality Recognition & Improvement Program – Administrator Credential and the Child Development Family Study BA Grading is based on:

Assignment	Points
Content Assignment 10@10 points each	100
Assessment Tool Action Project	200
Final Reflection	50
Total Points	350

All coursework will be evaluated on the following:

- a) Organization
- b) Clarity
- c) Consistency of thought and format
- d) Reflection of course content
- e) Thoughtful assimilation/accommodation with evidence of conceptual connections and understanding of content
- f) Written work contains no or few distracting elements such as spelling errors.

Grade	Points	Definition
A = 100% - 90%	350-315	An honor grade. Demonstrates originality, independence, a
		thorough mastery of the subject; completing more work
		than is regularly required. Demonstrates a deep
		understanding, presented with exceptional clarity & poise.
B = 89% - 80%	314-280	Better than the average. Above the average expectation.
		Projects or papers are presented neatly and thoroughly but
		do not have the depth and originality for an "A."
C = 79% - 70%	279-245	Average. The student grasps the essential information;
		material is complete and presented on time.
		Any Grade below a C will need to be repeated for the
		CDFS BA Major and Concentration
D = 69% - 60%	244-210	Below average. Student misses significant aspects of the
		assignment. Material is not turned in on time; student is
		unprepared to present project to class.
F = below 60 %	209-	Student was unable to complete the assignment on time
	below	with at least a 60% understanding and presentation.

Instructor Response Time and How to Check your Grades

Inquiries from student sent through email will be acknowledged within 48 hours. Lessons will be graded within one week after the due date – unless notice of delays are shared with students from the instructor.

To check your grades for assignment and find comments from your instructor, clink on the My Grades link in the sidebar menu. All assignments and their due dates are listed. To see details of your grades, clink on the green check mark or the underlined score in the grade column. If the score is for an assignment, this will take you to a Review Submission History page where you will see a recap of what you submitted, your grade, and your instructor's comments and feedback.

No Basis (NB), Incompletes, and Instructor Withdrawal (W)

This course adheres to the UAF Procedure regarding the granting of NB Grades. The NB grade is for use only in situations in which the instructor has No Basis upon which to assign a grade. In general, the NB grade will not be granted.

University of Alaska Fairbanks Incomplete Grade Policy:

"The letter "I" (Incomplete) is a temporary grade used to indicate that the student has satisfactorily completed (C or better) the majority of work in a course but for personal reasons beyond the student's control, such as sickness, he has not been able to complete the course during the regular semester. Negligence or indifference is not acceptable reasons for an "I" grade."

Successful, Timely Completion of this Course Starting and establishing your progress through this course early can help to encourage your successful completion of the course.

Title IX

University of Alaska Board of Regents have clearly stated in BOR Policy that discrimination, harassment and violence will not be tolerated on any campus of the University of Alaska If you believe you are experiencing discrimination or any form of harassment including sexual harassment/misconduct/assault, you are encouraged to report that behavior. If you report to a faculty member or any university employee, they must notify the UAF Title IX Coordinator about the basic facts of the incident. Your choices for reporting include:

- 1) You may access confidential counseling by contacting the UAF Health & Counseling Center at 474-7043;
- 2) You may access support and file a Title IX report by contacting the UAF Title IX Coordinator at 474-6600;
- 3) You may file a criminal complaint by contacting the University Police Department at 474-7721.

Support Services

Academic Integrity and Plagiarism

As described by UAF, scholastic dishonesty constitutes a violation of the university rules and regulations and is punishable according to the procedures outlined by UAF. Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to, cheating on an exam, plagiarism, and collusion. Cheating includes providing answers to or taking answers from another student. Plagiarism includes use of another author's words or arguments without attribution. Collusion includes unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing written work for fulfillment of any course requirement. Scholastic dishonesty is punishable by removal from the course and a grade of "F." For more information go to Student Code of Conduct.

(http://www.uaf.edu/catalog/catalog_08- 09/academics/regs3.html#Student_Conduct)

Student Support Services:

The University has many student support programs. If you need assistance please contact any of the following service programs or departments.

The Child Development and Family Studies (CDFS) program helps students with registration and development of successful course schedules. The program is able to provide information about course assignments as well as records of students admitted to the CDFS program, as well as answers general program related questions. Program advisors can help students communicate with instructors, and locate relevant resources. The CDFS student services staff is Jennifer Russell (907) 455-2038 OR toll free 1-888 -560-5860 e-mail jlrussell2@alaska.edu

UAOnline

http:// uaonline.alaska.edu/

Your resource for transcripts, accounts and other personal information

Disabilities Services:

The Child Development and Family Studies program will work with the Office of Disability Services to provide reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities. Disability Services provide a variety of services to assure equal access for all students. Interpreting services, educational assistants, note taking, and exam accommodations for students are the most frequently provided accommodations.

The Office of Disability Services implements the American with Disabilities Act (ADA), and insures that the UAF students have equal access to the campus and course materials. (203 WHIT, 474-7043) I will work with the Office of Disabilities Services to provide reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities.

Disability services also provides assistance to the university's rural campuses. Bristol Bay, Chuckchi, Interior-Aleutions, Kuskokwim, and Northwest. Questions should be directed to the Director of Disability Services at (907) 474-5655 or online at http://www.uaf.edu/disability/

Representatives from the office also regularly meet students in the CTCC building. Check with the CTCC student services for more information, 455-2851 or 2849.

UAF Office of Disability Services 612 N. Chandalar, PO box 755590 University of Alaska Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-5590

Phone: (907) 474-5655| TTY: (907) 474-1827 | FAX: 474-5688 Email: fydos@alaska.edu

Writing Center

http://www.alaska.edu/english/studentresources/writing/

The Writing Center is a student-staffed, student-oriented service of the English Department.

801 Gruening Bldg., P.O. Box 755720

Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-5720

Phone: (907) 474-5314 Fax: 1-800-478-5246

* The UAF Writing Center and Computer Lab offers free writing tutoring to any student in any subject via telephone and fax or over the Internet. Students can call 907-474-5314 for information on how to fax a paper and have it tutored over the telephone, or engage in an interactive Internet session. Both services are free.

Rural Students Services

http://www.uaf.edu/ruralss/

Rural Student Services (RSS) is an academic advising department with over 35 years of experience in working with students from all over the state of Alaska. We are here to assist you in achieving student success by linking you to current information pertinent to your education, lifestyle, and goals. RSS is known for its welcoming and friendly environment. Many students find meaningful connection at UAF through RSS. We can help you with:

- Academic requirements
- Registration for classes
- Finding financial aid
- Explaining housing options
- Declaring a major
- Career exploration

CONTACT US AT:

P.O. box 756320, Fairbanks, AK 99775-6320 1-888-478-1452 (toll free within Alaska) or (907) 474-7871 Email us at fyrss@uaf.edu

Library Services for off campus students

http://library.uaf.edu/offcampus

Off-Campus Library Services is a unit set up to serve rural UAF students and faculty who do not have access to appropriate information resources in their town or village. We work in support of The College of Rural & Community Development and The Center for Distance Education and Independent Learning.

We can supply your information needs for the courses you are taking. For example, if a research paper is required in the teleconference or correspondence course that you are taking, you can contact us, explain your information need, and we will send library materials to you so that you can write your paper.

Contact us at Off-Campus Services, Elmer E. Rasmuson Library

310 Tanana Loop, PO Box 756800 Fairbanks, Alaska USA 99775-6800

Phone: 1-800-478-5348 Email: fyddl@uaf.edu

For more off campus help go to:

http://www.uaf.edu/library/instruction/ls101/other/Distance_Resources.html

Computer, Internet and Software

Problem: you cannot get your email Make sure your Internet connection is working; to test it, you can try to go to a new web page and see if it loads.

- If you are having problems with a UAF account, you will need to contact the **UAF help desk 1.800.478.4667**. If it is another company's account, you will need to contact their customer support. There is very little we can do to assist you as we have no control or access to the computers that serve the email.
- Check with your email program's Help.

Problem: you forgot your password

• Only the organization that issued your password can do anything to change it. You will need to contact them. For UAF email and Blackboard it is the UAF help desk 1.800.478.4667. For most web services there is a link you can click if you forgot your password. I also recommend writing them down somewhere for back up.

Problem: you are having problems with Blackboard

You will need to contact the Blackboard administrator, at: http://classes.uaf.edu/ Office of Information Technology Help Desk 474.6564 or 1.800.478.4667

ECE 345 – Seminar on Screening, Assessment and Data Collection Tools Sample Topic Course Calendar

Readings for assorted screening and assessment tools will be inserted as appropriate for each topic when needed for additional information beyond that of the actual tools used.

Week	Topic
1	Screening Assessments
	What is screening?
	Purpose of screening
	Characteristics of screening assessments
2	Topic: Recommended practices of screening:
	Ethics of screening, assessment and data collection.
3	Topic: Screening – Standardized Tools:
	Ages & Stages Questionnaire (ASQ 3 and ASQ SE)
4	Developmental Indicator for Assessment of Learning (DIAL) III
5	Devereux Early Childhood Assessment (DECA)
6	Family Engagement piece of Screening
7	Developmental Assessment Tools commonly used to Inform and Monitor Instruction and characteristics of tools.
8	Assessment tools used to Inform and Monitor Early Academic Instruction (RTI model)
9	Topic: Purposes of Assessment:
	Instructional assessment
	Diagnostic assessment
	Assessment of program Evaluation/Accountability
10	Program Evaluation and Accountability Assessment. Purpose and Characteristics of.
	Three specific types of program evaluation:
	1. Physical characteristics
	2. Social indicators
	3. Direct measures of learning
11	Physical Characteristics: Environmental Rating Scales
	Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (ECERS)
	 Infant Toddler Environment Rating Scale (ITERS)
	Family Childcare Environment Rating Scale (FCERS)
12	Social Indicators:
	Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)
	Teaching Preschool Observation Tool (TPOT)
13	Direct Measures of Learning:
	Authentic, Criterion-Referenced Assessments connected directly to curriculum.
	Purpose and Characteristics of.
14	Closing of class – presentation of project design

Assessment Tool Action Project Rubric

Rentification of Tool Tool Tool Tool Environmental Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool within. Points Possible 40 points Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student identified the appropriate and thool information about program. Student applied the appropriate and thool information about program. Student provides minimal appropriate and the program Student some pustification for use of tool with some information about program. Student provides minimal appropriate and the appropriate and the appropriate and the appropriate and	the appropriate Environmental Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 QR did not she information about the program tiself. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student clearly justification gapropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student dearly justification for use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student does the tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 50 points Possible 60 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 40 professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding understanding and understanding and understanding and understanding understanding and understanding und	Category	Honor/Exceptional	Skillful/Competent	Developing	Not Passing
Environmental Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points 28 point 28 point 30 points 32 points 32 points 33 points 34 points 34 points 35 points 35 points 36 points 36 points 36 points 37 points 38 points 38 points 39 points 39 points 30 poin	Environmental Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool within. Student program tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Application Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with rew, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is quality with fe		Student identified	Student identified		
Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points Student clearly justification pappropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student some justification of use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Student some provide mough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student some justification for use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student some provide mough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student provides minimal student for appropriate for environment. Student provides minimal student for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Student ap	Assessment tool in conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Possible 40 points 9 appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student clearly justification of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 4 professional quality with few, if any, errors. Assessment tool in conjunction with some information about the program itself. Assessment tool with some justification for use of tool with some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 4 professional quality with few, if any, errors. Assessment tool with minimal information about the program itself. Assessment tool with minimal information about the program itself. Assessment tool with minimal information about the program itself. Assessment tool with minimal information about the program itself. Assessment tool with minimal information about the program itself. Assessment tool with approgram. Student some justification for use of tool is the sub of tool with some reasoning for use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with apartially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Assessment tool with some justification for use of tool with some information about the program. Student appropriate and efficient use within information about the program. Student appropriate and efficient use within information about the program. Student provides minimal information about the program. Student provide nough reasoning for appropriate and efficient use within information about on the used i	Tool				
conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 5 student program tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 5 student splied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 5 student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Conjunction with some information about program. With minimal information about information about program. With minimal information about program. Student some information about program. Student some provides minimal reasoning to why reasoning to why reasoning to why reasoning to wise of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that English that English that	conjunction with complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible					
Complete information about the program the tool will be used within.	complete information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible					
information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student rovides with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student does not provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Information about program itself. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student does not provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points Quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere begin to English that	information about the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides pustification pustifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides pustification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides pustification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point of tool for appropriate and efficient use within program development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points Opoints Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. About the program itself. Student some pustification for use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Opoints Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is project with readers understanding. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is project with readers understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding			3		
the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible	the program the tool will be used within. Points Possible Justification Points Possible Absessment to OR did not shinformation and program tool of be used in. Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible Application Points Possible Abplication Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Abpoints Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Abpoints Abplication Student some Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Abplication Assessment to OR did not shin information at program to 10 points Student some provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written errors in standard written errors in standard written lengths that interfere with reading and understanding understanding					
tool will be used within. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Justification Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 50 tool for appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 50 points 70 point	Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student clearly justification Student clearly justification Student clearly justification Student some reasoning of appropriateness. appropriateness. appropriateness. appropriateness. 28 point 10 points Student does reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. 28 point O points				program.	
Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points	Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student clearly justification with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student provides of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point of tool of tool with some reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point of tool of tool of tool with some reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. English that reading and understanding understanding information appropriate ol veue doin. Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is mappropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with an appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan a contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written errors in standard written errors in standard written errors in standard understanding understanding understanding			itself.		
Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Justification Student clearly justification for use with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point Student does not minimal provide enough reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. At the points at a point and professional quality with few, if any, errors.	Points Possible					
Points Possible Justification Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Application Application Points Possible Application Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan is demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible Application Appropriateness. Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a papropriate and efficient applied knowledge of the tool with a papropriate and efficient use within program Action plan is contains several errors in standard written any, errors. Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that English that any, errors. Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that E	Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 10 points Student clearly justification Student some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point provide minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Opoints Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan demonstrates a understanding. Action plan tracely interfere with reading and understanding understanding understanding		within.			
Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student clearly justification Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point provides of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point provide enough reasoning for use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. As yeight tool with some reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for appropriate for appropriate of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for achievement of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for achievement of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a popriately clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with a popriate and efficient use within program Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that any, errors.	Points Possible 40 points 32 points Student some justification Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 32 points Student provide minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. O points Points Possible 40 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Rotion plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points Rotion plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points Points Possible Points Possible 100 points Points Possible 100 points Points Possible					
Student clearly justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriate son appropriate son after two with sound reasoning for use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student provides minimal reasoning for use of tool is appropriate for environment. O points Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a papropriate and efficient applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student provides minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with and environment Student applied knowledge of the tool with and efficient use within appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with and evelopment of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal achievement of appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal achievement of appropriate and efficient use within program St	Student clearly justification Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Student some justification for use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Student appropriate for environment. O points	D. into D. 1111	40	22	20	
justifies use of tool with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible Application Application Points Possible Application Points Possible Application Application Points Possible Action plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan teasoning to why use of tool is appropriate of environment. Busine of tool with some reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate of environment. Busine of tool is appropriate for environment. Busine of tool is appropriate of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with an information for achievement of this outcome. Points Possible Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Busine of tool is appropriate for environment. Busine of tool is appropriate of this outcome. Not enough this outcome. Not enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. Busine of tool is appropriate for environment. Busine of tool is appropriate for environment. Busine of tool is appropriate of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan contains several errors in standard written Busine of tool with applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan contains several errors in standard written Busine of tool with appropriate and efficient use within program Busine of tool with applied knowledge of the tool w	justifies use of tool with some reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program emonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 0 provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. This outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. This outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. This outcome. Not enough information for achievement of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. This outcome. Not enough information for achievement of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 provide enough reasoning to why use of tool is appropriate for environment. This outcome. Not enough information for achievement of this outcome. The tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program 0 plan for appropriate and efficient					
with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 100 point	with sound reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 plan for appropriate and efficient use within program demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points	Justification				
reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points appropriate ensure within any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 20 points 28 point 0 points wachievement of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program within program within program of plan is polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 100 points 20 poin	reasoning of appropriateness. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 100 points 10			3		
appropriateness. appropriate for environment. Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. appropriateness. appropriate sand appropriate for environment. 28 point 0 points Student applied knowledge of the tool with applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program By onto the tool with a tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written any, errors. Action plan to contains several errors in standard written English that pany, errors. Action plan to contains several errors in standard written begin to By opints Possible to begin to to this outcome. Not enough information for achievement of thool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan to contains several errors in standard written English that begin to English that	Application Points Possible Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Points Possible Points Possible Points Possible Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible Poin					
Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with adevelopment of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 40 points 132 points	Points Possible		_	•		
Points Possible 40 points 32 points 28 point 0 points Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program embeddenonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student applied knowledge of the the tool with applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program embeddeness. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program efficient use within program embeddeness. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program	Points Possible Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Robert Plan Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Points Possible Poplits Plan Action plan Contains several errors in standard written English that begin to interfere		appropriatelless.	appropriateness.	* * *	
Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student applied knowledge of the tool with applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of this outcome. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan contains several errors in standard written quality with few, if any, errors. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that English that English that English that English that English that	Application Student applied knowledge of the tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student applied knowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Student applied knowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with reading and understanding understanding	Points Possible	10 points	32 points		
knowledge of the tool with the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. knowledge of the tool with a tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Rowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written any, errors. English that any, errors. Rowledge of the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan action plan contains several errors in standard written English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that English that	knowledge of the tool with a development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Rowledge of the tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Rotion plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Rowledge of the tool with an partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Rotion plan is polished but has some errors in standard written and quality with few, if any, errors. Rotion plan is polished but has some errors in standard written and errors in standard written and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Rotion plan is polished but has some errors in standard written and errors in standard written and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that Find The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that Find The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that Find The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that Find The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program In the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program In the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program In the tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program In the tool with machine the tool with minimal development o		•	•	1	•
tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. tool with apartially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program program The tool with apartially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with appropriate and efficient use within program The tool with mi	tool with development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. tool with a partially clear development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. tool with minimal development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Action plan is Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with reading and understanding understanding	Application			~ ~	
development of plan for development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard quality with few, if any, errors. English that rarely interfere development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 70 points Action plan Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that	development of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Description of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Reflicient use within program Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Belopment of plan for appropriate and efficient use within program To points Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding This outcome. This					
plan for appropriate and efficient use within program efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Plan for appropriate and efficient use within program within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written English that any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written English that begin to English that	plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points					
appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Plan for appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written errors in standard written English that English that begin to English that	appropriate and efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Recompland demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points Recompland demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points Recompland defficient use within program Recompland deficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Recompland demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Recompland deficient use within program Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding				_	tins outcome.
efficient use within program Points Possible 100 points Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. efficient use within program 80 points 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written any, errors. efficient use within program 70 points Action plan demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written errors in standard written English that errors in standard written English that	Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Opoints Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Opoints Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points Action plan action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding written eading and understanding interfere with reading and unders		*	•	*	
Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points O points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points O points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that	Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points O points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points O points Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Points Possible 100 points 80 points Action plan is contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding			•		
Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. within program 70 points 0 points Action plan is Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that any, errors. Points Possible 100 points 70 points Action plan lacks contains several errors in standard written English that	Points Possible 100 points 80 points 70 points 0 points Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Within program 70 points 0 points Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Within program 70 points 0 points Action plan is contains several higher quality errors in standard written English that interfer with reading and understanding understanding		program			
Points Possible100 points80 points70 points0 pointsQuality of PlanAction plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors.Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written any, errors.Action plan is contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written begin toAction plan contains several errors in standard written errors in standard written begin to	Points Possible Quality of Plan Action plan demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. Action plan is polished but has some errors in standard written any, errors. Some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. Red points Action plan contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding.				With brogram	
demonstrates a polished but has some errors in professional quality with few, if any, errors. polished but has some errors in standard written quality with few, if any, errors. polished but has errors in standard written English that errors in standard English that begin to English that	demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors. demonstrates a polished but has some errors in standard written errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. polished but has some errors in standard written English that begin to interfere with reading and understanding understanding.	Points Possible	100 points		70 points	0 points
demonstrates a polished but has some errors in professional quality with few, if any, errors. polished, some errors in standard written quality with few, if any, errors. polished but has errors in standard written errors in standard written English that begin to English that	demonstrates a polished, some errors in standard written quality with few, if any, errors. Description of the polished, professional quality with few, if any errors. Description of the polished but has some errors in standard written that professional quality with few, if any errors. English that pegin to the professional errors in standard written that the professional erro	Quality of Plan	Action plan	Action plan is	Action plan	Action plan lacks
professional quality with few, if any, errors. standard written written English that any, errors. written errors in standard written English that begin to English that	professional quality with few, if any, errors. standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. standard written English that English that written English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding		demonstrates a		contains several	
quality with few, if any, errors. English that begin to written English that begin to	quality with few, if any, errors. English that rarely interfere with readers understanding. English that begin to English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding			some errors in	errors in standard	
any, errors. rarely interfere begin to English that	any, errors. rarely interfere with reading and understanding understanding. English that interfere with reading and understanding understanding		_	standard written	written	errors in standard
	with readers interfere with reading and understanding understanding understanding			English that	English that	written
	understanding. reading and understanding understanding		any, errors.			
	understanding understanding					interfere with
				understanding.	_	
understanding understanding	Points Possible 20 points 16 points 14 points 0 points				understanding	understanding
Points Possible 20 points 16 points 14 points 0 points		Points Possible	20 points	16 points	14 points	0 points

Content Assignment Rubric

Category	Honor/Exceptional	Skillful/Competent	Developing	Not Passing
Quality of Content Understanding	Response is clear, concise, coherent and easy to understand demonstrating elements of strong understanding of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response is mostly clear, concise and coherent with a nice understanding of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response is clear, with a beginning level of understanding of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response is not always clear and coherent making it difficult to understand knowledge level of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.
Points Possible Critical Thinking	4 points Response demonstrates use of upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) and illustrates a thoughtful approach to possibilities of using screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	3.5 points Response demonstrates some use of upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) and illustrates a thoughtful approach to possibilities of using screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	3 point Response demonstrates minimal upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) and illustrates an partial approach to possibilities of using screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	1 points Response demonstrates little upper level thinking and illustrates an unclear explanation of how screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed could be used.
Quality of Response	3 points Response demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors.	2.5 points Response is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding.	2 point Response contains several errors in standard written English that begin to interfere with reading and understanding	.5 point Response lacks higher quality as it contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding
	3 points	2.5 point	2 points	0 points

Ending Reflection Rubric

Category	Honor/Exceptional	Skillful/Competent	Developing	Not Passing
Quality of Content Understanding	Reflection shows strong evidence of thorough preparation through critical reading and reflection thereby demonstrating an integration of relevant concepts, ideas regarding screening, assessment or data collection tools. Student speaks as one who has a breadth and depth of knowledge.	Response is mostly clear, concise and coherent with a nice understanding of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response is clear, with a beginning level of understanding of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response is not always clear and coherent making it difficult to understand knowledge level of screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.
Points Possible Critical Thinking	20 points Reflection demonstrates evidence of upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) in regards assessment of self-growth and understanding of course content can enhance and support quality in early childhood programs.	Response demonstrates some use of upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) and illustrates a thoughtful approach to possibilities of using screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	Response demonstrates minimal upper level thinking (analysis, synthesis, and evaluation) and illustrates an partial approach to possibilities of using screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed.	5 points Response demonstrates little upper level thinking and illustrates an unclear explanation of how screening, assessment or data collection tool being discussed could be used.
	20 points	16 points	14 point	5 points
Quality of Response	Response demonstrates a polished, professional quality with few, if any, errors.	Response is polished but has some errors in standard written English that rarely interfere with readers understanding.	Response contains several errors in standard written English that begin to interfere with reading and understanding	Response lacks higher quality as it contains several errors in standard written English that interfere with reading and understanding
	10 points	8 points	7 points	0 points