23-UNC Revised 02/27/2017

FORMAT 1

Submit original with signatures + 1 copy + electronic copy to Faculty Senate (Box 7500). See $\frac{http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/}{complete description of the rules governing curriculum & course changes.}$

TRIAL COU	SE OR NE	EW COURSE	PROPOSAL
(Att	ch copy	of syllab	ous)

SUBMITTED BY:									
Department	HONR			Colle	ge/School	L			DGS
Prepared by	Watisha bousa		Phone			7931			
Email Contact	mcsousa@alask	a.edu		Faculty Contact		et		Marsh	a Sousa
1. ACTION D	ESIRED (CHECK ONE):	Trial	l Cour	se		New C	Course	X	
2. COURSE I	DENTIFICATION:	Dept	но	ONR	Course #	F201	No. Credi	The same of the sa	3
Justify u division number of	status & t	The course c oward our f oundation f	freshme	en and s	ophomore	Honors stu	idents. It	will prov	
3. PROPOSED	COURSE TITLE:				Methods	of Inquiry			
4. To be CR	OSS LISTED? YES/NO	No	I	f yes, Dept:		Cours	e #		
	s-listing require form for addition			h depar	tments an	d deans i	nvolved.	Add li	nes at
5. To be STA	ACKED?* YES/NO	No	I	f yes, Dept.		Со	urse #		
from ea	e two course le ch other? How w at the appropri	ill each l	be						
attach syllab Review Commit syllabi (unde what are supp versions are being offered undertaxed?	* Use only one Format 1 form for the stacked course (not one for each level of the course!) and attach syllabi. Stacked course applications are reviewed by the (Undergraduate) Curricular Review Committee and by the Graduate Academic and Advising Committee. Creating two different syllabi (undergraduate and graduate versions) will help emphasize the different qualities of what are supposed to be two different courses. The committees will determine: 1) whether the two versions are sufficiently different (i.e. is there undergraduate and graduate level content being offered); 2) are undergraduates being overtaxed?; 3) are graduate students being undertaxed? In this context, the committees are looking out for the interests of the students taking the course. Typically, if either committee has qualms, they both do. More info online -								
6. FREQUENCY	Y OF OFFERING:		_	every ye					
		Fall, S			(Every, or Years) - o				r Odd-
(Effective A	7. SEMESTER & YEAR OF FIRST OFFERING (Effective AY2015-16 if approved by 3/31/2015; otherwise AY2016-17) Fall 2017 (but will continue to be offered as special topics (HONR F293) course until then)								
compressed in	hours may not be nto fewer than six thermore, any core	x weeks mus	t be a	pproved	by the co	ollege or	school's	curric	ulum
COURSE FOR	MAT:	1	2	3	4		5 x		ks to semester
OTHER FORM (specify)	AT								
Mode of de (specify l field trip etc)	ecture,						v		

9. CONTACT HOURS PER WEEK: Note: # of credits are based on con of lab in a science course=1 credit minutes of practicum=1 credit. 240 the syllabus. See http://www.uaf.ed/guidelines-for-computing-/ for mor OTHER HOURS (specify type)	. 1600 minutes in non- 0-8000 minutes of inter u/uafgov/faculty-senate	science lab=1 cre nship=1 credit. /curriculum/cours	dit. 2400-4800 This must match with
10. <u>COMPLETE</u> CATALOG DESCRIPTION in distribution, cross-listings a			
Example of a <u>complete</u> description:	nd/or stacking (50 wo	ords or less in	possible):
FISH F487 W, O 3 Credits Offered Spring Theory and practice of fisheri utilized for the management of F131X or COMM F141X; ENGL F111 permission of instructor. Cro HONR F201 Methods of Inquiry 3 credits Offered Fall, Spring	es management, with a freshwater and marin. X; ENGL F211X or ENG.	ne fisheries. Pr L F213X; ENGL F	rerequisites: COMM
This course introduces the student to epoverview of research methods from the sciences. The course content provides a independent research or scholarly investo the UAF Honors Program; and comple F141X) (3+0) 11. COURSE CLASSIFICATIONS: Under Council to apply S or H classifications.	perspectives of the human foundation for the Honors stigations in their junior and letion of ENGL F211X, EN	nities, social sciences s student who will be not senior years. (ProgL F213X, COMM	s, and natural pe developing perequisite: Admission F131X, or COMM
H = Humanities	S = Social	Sciences	
Will this course be used to for the baccalaureate core?		t YES:	NO: x
IF YES, check which core requ	irements it could be		
O = Oral Intensive, Format 6	W = Writing Intensiv		Baccalaureate Core
11.A Is course content related to "snowflake" symbol will be a YES 12. COURSE REPEATABILITY: Is this course repeatable for credit? Justification: Indicate why be repeated (for example, the	YES the course can		
a different theme each time).			
How many times may the course	be repeated for cred	dit?	TIMES
If the course can be repeated number of credit hours that m			CREDITS
	ay be earned for this	s course:	CREDITS

RES	TRICTIONS ON ENRO	
14.	PREREQUISITES	Admission to the UAF Honors Program. Completion of ENGL F211X, ENGL F212X, COMM F131X, or COMM F141X
	These will be r	equired before the student is allowed to enroll in the course.
	. SPECIAL RESTR NDITIONS	Open only to Honors Program participants.
16	. PROPOSED COURSE Has a memo be	FEES \$0 en submitted through your dean to the Provost for fee approval? Yes/No
17.	PREVIOUS HISTORY Has the course b previously? Yes/No	een offered as special topics or trial course Yes
	If yes, give sem course #, etc.:	Offered as HONR F293 in Spring 2014, Spring 2015,Fall 2016.
19.	No impact. The course contracts. The course LIBRARY COLLECTION Have you contacte 474-6695) with reservices available	se will be taught by the Honors Director or by adjunct or overload faculty e can be taught in the Honors House. DNS d the library collection development officer (kljensen@alaska.edu, gard to the adequacy of library/media collections, equipment, and e for the proposed course? If so, give date of contact and ot, explain why not. Do not anticipate requiring any library resources.
21.	Include information This should only affects students, and this cou POSITIVE AND NEGO Please specify podepartments resul By creating some requ	on the Programs/Departments contacted (e.g., email, memo) t the Honors Program. We are establishing a short, required curriculum for rse will be a requirement.

JUSTIFICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED

The purpose of the department and campus-wide curriculum committees is to scrutinize course change and new course applications to make sure that the quality of UAF education is not lowered as a result of the proposed change. Please address this in your response. This section needs to be self-explanatory. Use as much space as needed to fully justify the proposed course.

As part of our efforts to create a value-added Honors Program, we are creating a short series of courses in which we get to know the students and impact their education. The first course will be Introduction to Honors, following by this course (Methods of Inquiry). A capstone design class will follow, and juniors and seniors will conduct research and complete a thesis. An interdisciplinary seminar will be available for

sophomores through seniors, with topics that change each semest We have committed to documenting student learning outcomes, a required curriculum in addition to the available honors-designate	and will be better able to do so by having a
APPROVALS: Add additional signature lines as ne	eeded.
Mouse	Date 12-7-16
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	
	Date
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	
A H	Date 12.7.16
Signature, Dean, College/School General	1 Studies
Offerings above the level of approved programs the Provost.	must be approved in advance by
Signature of Provost (if above level of approve programs)	
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMIS	SSION TO THE GOVERNANCE OFFICE
	Date
Signature, Chair Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculu	um ReviewGAAC
Core Revi	LewSADAC
ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-list	ting and/or stacking)
	Date
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	
	Date
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	
	Date
Signature, Dean, College/School of:	

ATTACH COMPLETE SYLLABUS (as part of this application). This list is online at: http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/uaf-syllabus-requirements/
The Faculty Senate curriculum committees will review the syllabus to ensure that each of the items listed below are included. If items are missing or unclear, the proposed course
(or changes to it) may be <u>denied</u> .
SYLLABUS CHECKLIST FOR ALL UAF COURSES During the first week of class, instructors will distribute a course syllabus. Although modifications may be made throughout the semester, this document will contain the following information (as applicable to the discipline):
1. Course information:
\square Title, \square number, \square credits, \square prerequisites, \square location, \square meeting time (make sure that contact hours are in line with credits).
2. Instructor (and if applicable, Teaching Assistant) information:
lacksquare Name, $lacksquare$ office hours, $lacksquare$ telephone, $lacksquare$ email address.
3. Course readings/materials:
lacktriangle Course textbook title, $lacktriangle$ author, $lacktriangle$ edition/publisher.
\square Supplementary readings (indicate whether \square required or \square recommended) and
any supplies required.
4. Course description:
☐ Content of the course and how it fits into the broader curriculum;
Expected proficiencies required to undertake the course, if applicable.
☐ Inclusion of catalog description is <i>strongly</i> recommended, and
Description in syllabus must be consistent with catalog course description.
5. Course Goals (general), and (see #6)
6. Student Learning Outcomes (more specific)
7. Instructional methods:
Describe the teaching techniques (eg: lecture, case study, small group discussion, private instruction, studio instruction, values clarification, games, journal writing, use of Blackboard, audio/video conferencing, etc.).
8. Course calendar:
A schedule of class topics and assignments must be included. Be specific so that it is clear that the instructor has thought this through and will not be making it up on the fly (e.g. it is not adequate to say "lab". Instead, give each lab a title that describes its content). You may call the outline Tentative or Work in Progress to allow for modifications during the semester.
9. Course policies:
\square Specify course rules, including your policies on attendance, tardiness, class participation, make-up exams, and plagiarism/academic integrity.
10. Evaluation:
lacktriangle Specify how students will be evaluated, $lacktriangle$ what factors will be included, $lacktriangle$ their
relative value, and \square how they will be tabulated into grades (on a curve, absolute
scores, etc.) Depublicize UAF regulations with regard to the grades of "C" and below as applicable to this course. (Not required in the syllabus, but is a convenient way to publicize this.) Link to PDF summary of grading policy for "C": http://www.uaf.edu/files/uafgov/Info-to-Publicize-C_Grading-Policy-UPDATED-May-2013.pdf
11. Support Services:
☐ Describe the student support services such as tutoring (local and/or regional)
appropriate for the course.
12. Disabilities Services: Note that the phone# and location have been updated.
<pre>http://www.uaf.edu/disability/faculty/ Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), to the campus and course materials.</pre> The Office of Disability Services implements the and ensures that UAF students have equal access
State that you will work with the Office of Disabilities Services (208 WHITAKER
BLDG, 474-5655) to provide reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities.

Methods of Inquiry HONR F293, 3 credits Fall, 2017

Meeting

TR, 9:45-11:15 Honors House

Instructor

Dr. David Lukaszek

Phone:

Office:

Office Hours:

Email:

Course Description

This course introduces the student to epistemology, the theory of knowledge, and provides a broad overview of research methods from the perspectives of the humanities, social sciences, and natural sciences. The course content provides a foundation for the Honors student who will be developing independent research or scholarly investigations in their junior and senior years.

Prerequisites

Admission to the UAF Honors Program.

Course Goals

The overall goal of the course is for the student to be familiar with epistemology as it relates to academic inquiry, and to be able both to identify and pose questions for inquiry from the perspectives of the natural and social sciences and the humanities.

Student Learning Outcomes

The student who successfully completes this course will be able to

- 1. Define epistemology, and clearly articulate the differences between truth and belief.
- 2. Summarize the critical contributions of important philosophers to our understanding of knowing and believing.
- 3. Explain the significance of skepticism in the development of knowledge.
- 4. Explain the sources and scope of knowledge and justified belief.
- 5. Explain the scientific method and its application in both social and natural sciences.
- 6. Explain how those in the arts conduct research.
- 7. Formulate research questions from multiple perspectives.
- 8. Critique research questions from multiple perspectives.

9. Explore and critique various approaches to variable selection as pertaining to the research question.

Textbooks

These 3 texts are all required. Additional readings may be provided during the course.

- 1. Audi, Robert (2010). Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge ISBN: 041587923X
- 2. Rolling, James Haywood (2013). Art-Based Research Primer ISBN: 1433116499
- 3. Gimbel, Steven (2011). Exploring the Scientific Method ISBN:0226294838

Instructional Methods

In this course you will hear lectures, participation in discussions, formulate questions, critique research plans, and read multiple texts and papers that are provided. Your contribution to class discussions are a key element in making this class successful.

Evaluation

Grading and performance evaluation will be done on a point-based system. Performance assessments will include your contribution to assigned discussions, exams, and writing as outlined below.

<u>Exams</u>: There will be 2 exams based on class lectures and required readings from your texts, and a comprehensive final exam. Each exam is worth 100 points. Exams will be short answer questions.

<u>Group Discussions</u>: The student will participate in four group discussions. The topics will be assigned by the instructor at least one week prior to class. Students may earn up to 25 points for their contributions to group discussions. Grading rubric attached.

<u>Writing:</u> A five page paper will be due on the day of each group discussion. Each group discussion paper is worth 25 points. Grading rubric attached.

N.B. You are expected to attend all class meetings, complete all assignments on time, and participate fully in all aspects of the class. More than 3 absences will result in a failing grade.

Grades will be based on the percentage of possible points that you earn. Grades will be assigned as follows. I will round your average to the nearest whole number. A student must <u>earn at least a B</u> in this course to receive honors credit.

Grade	Percentage	Calculation in your GPA	Explanation
A+	98-100%	4	An honor grade, indicates originality and independent work, a thorough mastery of the
Α	92-98%	4	subject and the satisfactory completion of more work than is regularly required.
A-	90-91%	3.7	work than is regularly required.

B+	88-89%	3.3	Indicates outstanding ability above the average level
В	82-88%	3.0	of performance.
B-	80-81%		
C+	78-79%	2.3	Indicates a satisfactory or average level of
С	72-78%	2.0	performance.
C-	70-71%	1.7	
D	60-69%	1.0	The lowest passing grade, indicates work of below-
			average quality and performance.
F	59 or below	0.0	Indicates failure.
NB			I will only use an NB grade only if I have never been
(no basis)			aware of your attendance and you have never
			completed any graded assignments.

Course Policies

Late Assignments

Assignments should be turned at the beginning of the class period in which they are due. Late assignments will be accepted but will be docked 15% for each class period they are late.

Attendance and tardiness

To gain the maximum benefit from any class, you must attend all class meetings, be there on time and fully ready to participate, and not leave class early. If you miss more than 3 classes, you will receive a failing grade for the class. If there are valid reasons for missing class, please contact me as soon as possible. Students who miss class because of University-sanctioned events and activities will be excused for that class period (it will not count against your 3 absences), provided adequate documentation is presented prior the absence. The student is responsible for all information missed during any absence or tardiness.

Academic Integrity

Instances of plagiarism or any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the paper or exam. A second instance will result in a grade of F for the class. Please refer to the UAF catalog Honor Code.

Student Conduct

Students are expected to conduct themselves in a profession and appropriate manner. Demonstrate respect for your instruction and your fellow students. Silence your cell phones and do not make or take any calls during class. Students may use personal computers for taking notes during class, but not for surfing the web, checking or sending emails, or watching movies.

Support Services

<u>Disability services</u> provides assistance to any student with a documented disability. If you have a disability, please contact them (474-5655, 208 Whitaker Building) early in the semester. If you have documentation of your disability, please bring it to my attention as soon as possible so that I may provide the accommodations you need.

<u>Student Support Services</u> are available at UAF (http://www.uaf.edu/sssp). These include: free tutorial services to eligible students, academic advising, mentoring and personal support, direct financial assistance to qualified low-income participants, use of laptop computers, labs, and other technology resources, and cultural and social engagement. The office is located in Gruening 512.

Information about the <u>UAF Writing Center</u> can be found at <u>www.uaf.edu/english/writing-center/</u>. The writing center is located in GRUE 801.

Information about the <u>UAF Math Lab</u> can be found at <u>www.uaf.edu/dms/mathlab/</u>. The lab is located in CHAP 305.

Title IX Protection

University of Alaska Board of Regents have clearly stated in BOR Policy that discrimination, harassment and violence will not be tolerated on any campus of the University of Alaska If you believe you are experiencing discrimination or any form of harassment including sexual harassment/misconduct/assault, you are encouraged to report that behavior. If you report to a faculty member or any university employee, they must notify the UAF Title IX Coordinator about the basic facts of the incident.

Your choices for reporting include:

- 1. You may access confidential counseling by contacting the UAF Health & Counseling Center at 474-7043;
- 2. You may access support and file a Title IX report by contacting the UAF Title IX Coordinator at 474-6600;
- 3. You may file a criminal complaint by contacting the University Police Department at 474-7721.

Class Schedule

Date	Topic	Reading Assignment
Jan 17	Introduction and Overview	
Jan 19	Deductivism,	Gimbel, 1-17
	Aristotle and Rene Descarte	
Jan 24	Case study: Evolutionary Biology Track	Gimbel, 36-37
	HW 1 due	
Jan 26	Case study: Sociology track	Gimbel, 40-41
	HW 2 due	
Jan 31	Inductivism	Gimbel, 46-47
	Francis Bacon and John Stuart Mill	
Feb 2	Case: Evolutionary Biology	Gimbel, 82-83
	HW 3	
Feb 7	Case: Sociology Track	Gimbel, 87-88
Feb 9	Hypothetico-Deductivism	Gimbel, 94-126
	Whewell, Braithwaite, and Hume	

Feb 14	Falsification	Gimbel, 145-154
	Popper	
Feb 16	Case: Evolutionary Biology	Gimbel, 162-163
	HW 5	
Feb 21	Case: Sociology Track	Gimbel, 166-167
	HW 6	
Feb 23	Holistic View	Gimbel, 182-255
	Kuhn, Lakatos, Spector	
Feb 28	Case: Psychology Track	Gimbel, 225-227
Mar 2	Critical View of Scientific Theory	Gimbel, 285-306
	Feyerabend, Hubbard	
Mar 7	Case: Evolutionary Biology	Gimbel, 319
	HW 8	
Mar 9	Theories and Perception	Audi, Ch 1-2
Mar 21-23	Structures and Knowledge	Audi, Ch 3-4
Mar 28	Reason and Understanding	Audi, Ch 5-6
Mar 30	Science and Skepticism	Audi, Ch. 12-14
April 4 - 6	Discussion, Evaluate 2 previous cases	
	HW 9 and 10	
April 11-13	Art Based Research	Rolling, Ch 1-3
April 18-20	Art Based Research	Rolling, Ch 4
April 25-27	Final Discussions	
	Compare and contrast the difference between Gimbel	
	and Audi	
	Compare and contrast the differences between	
	research in the Arts and Sciences.	

Grading Rubric for Written Assignments

Each assignment is worth 25 points and expected to be a minimum of 5 pages, double space, in 10-12 point font. You will be graded as follows:

Thesis: Up to 4 points for a clearly articulated thesis that guides the development of the paper.

A 4 point thesis is clearly and eloquently stated. It lets the reader anticipate the breadth

and depth of the discussion. The absence of a clear thesis warrants 0 points.

Evidence: Up to 8 points for presenting evidence appropriate to support and refute your thesis.

A full 8 points will be awarded if there is evidence that logically supports your thesis and is central to the ideas we are discussion, and if there is also evidence presented that would refute the thesis – also logical and central to our discussions. An abundance of evidence has been selected crucially and carefully. Papers with lesser amounts of evidence or evidence that is not central to our discussion or does not support or refute

the thesis will be awarded fewer points.

Analysis: Up to 8 points for analyzing the evidence you have selected as it relates to thesis.

Eight points will be awarded if the evidence is analyzed critically and articulately. The focus should remain clear and the discussion should be well-developed. Fewer points will be awarded if the discussion wanders and does not address the thesis or the writing

is too general.

Mechanics: Up to 5 points for appropriate essay structure, grammar, punctuation, style, spelling,

and use of terminology.

A paper awarded a full 5 points for mechanics is free from any distracting errors of grammar, punctuation, and spelling. It is well organized and transitions easily from point to point. The vocabulary is appropriate to the topic and relatively sophisticated, further demonstrating the writers understanding of the topics. The writer's tone is clear and consistent, and is appropriate for a college-level audience. Please check and double

check for errors that will detract from your paper and your grade.

Grading Rubric for Group Discussions

Each group discussion is worth 25 points for the individual student. You are expected to meet outside of class to develop your discussion presentation and to take care that everyone is prepared to participate fully in the discussions. Identify the major points that you want to make and the major evidence in support or against the arguments. The more evidence you have, the better your discussion will be. Plan to ask provocative questions and to extend the discussions deeper where necessary. Make sure you are respectful of all who contribute to discussion, whether you agree or do not, and whether their points are relevant or not. Gently guide the discussion back to the topic at hand if it strays.

A student may earn a full 25 points when he/she contributes meaningfully to the discussion by presenting evidence, refuting evidence, asking good questions, and keeping to topic. Those who do not participate in discussion will receive 0 points, no matter how well prepared they are or how good their papers are. Those who contribute occasionally, but do not help develop the deeper discussion, who stray from the topic or present irrelevant information, will receive fewer than 25 points.

25 points	Student does an outstanding job of leading discussion. He/she introduces the topic clearly, poses interesting questions, provides thoughtful feedback and good evidence, develops good arguments, considers responses thoughtfully, is generous with sharing the stage, gently guides the discussion back to topic if it strays, and extends the discussion deeper when necessary.
18-24 points	The student contributes to the content of the discussion by providing evidence and he/she often poses additional questions. It is obvious that the student understands the topic, but is perhaps less able to articulate his/her ideas clearly. The student is respectful and generous.
10-17 points	The student makes some comments that are on topic, and probably has a basic understanding of the discussion and the evidence, though that may not be completely clear. The student does not seem to be able to help extend the discussion or to get it back on track when it strays. The student may not carefully consider, or perhaps understand, other's comments.
1-9 points	The student makes very few comments or makes comments that are not related to

	the discussion. The student is rude or dismissive of other's comments. IT is not clear
	that the student understands the topic of discussion or the evidence.
0 points	The student remains silent and does not contribute to discussion.