Evaluating a College Writing Sample RUBRIC

CRITERIA/SCALE	-3- Exceeds Expectations	-2- Meets Expectations	-1- Needs Improvement	-0- Inadequate
<ul><li>Structure</li><li>Organization</li><li>Flow of thought</li><li>Transitions</li><li>Format</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Paper is logically organized</li> <li>Easily followed</li> <li>Effective, smooth, and logical transitions</li> <li>Professional format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper has a clear organizational structure with some digressions, ambiguities or irrelevances</li> <li>Easily followed</li> <li>Basic transitions</li> <li>Structured format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is some level of organization through digressions, ambiguities, irrelevances are too many</li> <li>Difficult to follow</li> <li>Ineffective transitions</li> <li>Rambling format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is no apparent organization to the paper</li> <li>Difficult to follow</li> <li>No or poor transitions</li> <li>No format</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Grammar/Mechanics</li><li>Sentence structure</li><li>Punctuation/mechanics</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Manipulates complex sentences for effect/impact</li> <li>No punctuation or mechanical errors</li> </ul>	Uses complex sentences     Few punctuation or mechanical errors	Uses compound sentences     Too many punctuation and/or mechanical errors	Uses simple sentences
<ul><li>Vocabulary; use of vocabulary</li><li>Tone</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary is sophisticated and correct as are sentences which vary in structure and length</li> <li>Uses and manipulates subject specific vocabulary for effect</li> <li>Writer's tone is clear, consistent, and appropriate for intended audience</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary is varied, specific and appropriate</li> <li>Frequently uses subject specific vocabulary correctly</li> <li>Writer's tone emerges and is generally appropriate to audience</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary is used properly though sentences may be simple</li> <li>Infrequently uses subject specific vocabulary correctly</li> <li>Writer's tone exhibits some level of audience sensitivity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vocabulary is unsophisticated, not used properly in very simple sentences</li> <li>Uses subject specific vocabulary too sparingly</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Content/Information</li> <li>Clarity of purpose</li> <li>Critical and original thought</li> <li>Use of examples</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Central idea is well developed and clarity of purpose is exhibited throughout the paper</li> <li>Abundance of evidence of critical, careful thought and analysis and/or insight</li> <li>Evidence and examples are vivid and specific, while focus remains tight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Central idea and clarity of purpose are generally evident throughout the essay</li> <li>Evidence of critical, careful thought and analysis and/or insight</li> <li>There are good, relevant supporting examples and evidence</li> </ul>	The central idea is expressed though it may be vague or too broad; Some sense of purpose is maintained throughout the essay  Some evidence of critical, careful though and analysis and/or insight  There are some examples and evidence, though general	<ul> <li>Central idea and clarity of purpose are absent or incompletely expressed and maintained</li> <li>Little or no evidence of critical, careful thought or analysis and/or insight</li> <li>There are too few, no examples and evidence or they are mostly irrelevant</li> </ul>