

UAF REGULATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT
AND EVALUATIONS OF FACULTY
and JUSTICE DEPARTMENT UNIT CRITERIA,
STANDARDS, AND INDICES

THE FOLLOWING IS AN ADAPTATION OF UAF AND BOARD OF REGENTS' CRITERIA FOR ANNUAL REVIEW, PRE-TENURE REVIEW, POST-TENURE REVIEW, PROMOTION, AND TENURE, SPECIFICALLY ADAPTED FOR USE IN EVALUATING THE FACULTY OF THE APPLIED AND DISTANCE EDUCATION DEPARMENTS. ITEMS IN BOLDFACE ITALICS ARE THOSE SPECIFICALLY ADDED OR EMPHASIZED BECAUSE OF THEIR RELEVANCE TO THE DEPARTMENT'S FACULTY, AND BECAUSE THEY ARE ADDITIONS TO UAF REGULATIONS.

Chapter I

Purview

The University of Alaska Fairbanks document, "Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," supplements the Board of Regents (BOR) policies and describes the purpose, conditions, eligibility, and other specifications relating to the evaluation of faculty at the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF). Contained herein are regulations and procedures to guide the evaluation processes and to identify the bodies of review appropriate for the university.

The university, through the UAF Faculty Senate, may change or amend these regulations and procedures from time to time and will provide adequate notice in making changes and amendments.

These regulations shall apply to all of the units within the University of Alaska, Fairbanks, except insofar as extant collective bargaining agreements apply otherwise.

The provost is responsible for coordination and implementation of matters relating to procedures stated herein.

Chapter II

Initial Appointment of Faculty

A. Criteria for Initial Appointment

Minimum degree, experience and performance requirements are set forth in "UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," Chapter IV. Exceptions to these requirements for initial placement in academic rank or special academic rank positions shall be submitted to the Chancellor or Chancellor's designee for approval prior to a final selection decision.

B. Academic Titles

Academic titles must reflect the discipline in which the faculty are appointed.

C. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Academic Rank

Deans of schools and colleges, and directors when appropriate, in conjunction with the faculty in a unit, shall observe procedures for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any vacant faculty position. These procedures are set by UAF Human Resources and the Campus Diversity and Compliance (EEO) office and shall provide for participation in hiring by faculty and administrators as a unit.

D. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Special Academic Rank

Deans and/or directors, in conjunction with the faculty in a unit, shall establish procedures for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any faculty positions as they become available. Such procedures shall be consistent with the university's stated EEO policies and shall provide for participation in hiring by faculty and administrators in the unit.

E. Following the Selection Process

The dean or director shall appoint the new faculty member and advise him/her of the conditions, benefits, and obligations of the position. If the appointment is to be at the professor level, the dean/director must first obtain the concurrence of the Chancellor or Chancellor's designee.

F. Letter of Appointment

The initial letter of appointment shall specify the nature of the assignment, the percentage emphasis that is to be placed on each of the parts of the faculty responsibility, mandatory year of tenure review, and any special conditions relating to the appointment.

This letter of appointment establishes the nature of the position and, while the percentage of emphasis for each part may vary with each workload distribution as specified in the annual workload agreement document, the part(s) defining the position may not.

Chapter III

Periodic Evaluation of Faculty

A. General Criteria

Criteria are outlined in "UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," Chapter IV, evaluators may consider, but shall not be limited to, whichever of the following are appropriate to the faculty member's professional obligation: mastery of subject matter; effectiveness in teaching; achievement in research, scholarly, and creative activity; effectiveness of public service; effectiveness of university service; demonstration of professional development and quality of total contribution to the university.

For purposes of evaluation at UAF, the total contribution to the university and activity in the areas outlined above will be defined by relevant activity and demonstrated competence from the following areas: 1) effectiveness in teaching; 2) achievement in scholarly activity; and 3) effectiveness of service.

Bipartite AND TRIPARTITE Faculty

Bipartite faculty are regular academic rank faculty who fill positions that are designated as performing two of the three parts of the university's tripartite responsibility. *JUSTICE FACULTY MAY BE EITHER BIPARTITE OR TRIPARTITE.*

The dean or director of the relevant college/school shall determine which of the criteria defined above apply to these faculty.

Bipartite faculty may voluntarily engage in a tripartite function, but they will not be required to do so as a condition for evaluation, promotion, or tenure.

B. Criteria for Instruction

A central function of the university is instruction of students in formal courses and supervised study. Teaching includes those activities directly related to the formal and informal transmission of appropriate skills and knowledge to students. The nature of instruction will vary for each faculty member, depending upon workload distribution and the particular teaching mission of the unit. Instruction includes actual contact in classroom, correspondence or electronic delivery methods, laboratory or field and preparatory activities, such as preparing for lectures, setting up demonstrations, and preparing for laboratory experiments, as well as individual/independent study, tutorial sessions, evaluations, correcting papers, and determining grades. Other aspects of teaching and instruction extend to undergraduate and graduate academic advising and counseling, training graduate students and serving on their graduate committees, particularly as their major advisor, curriculum development, and academic recruiting

and retention activities. *A CUSTOMARY TEACHING WORKLOAD FOR JUSTICE FACULTY MAY RANGE FROM 12-15 UNITS PER YEAR.*

1. Effectiveness in Teaching

Evidence of excellence in teaching may be demonstrated through, but not limited to, evidence of the various characteristics that define effective teachers.

Effective teachers:

- a. are highly organized, plan carefully, use class time efficiently, have clear objectives, have high expectations for students;
- b. express positive regard for students, develop good rapport with students, show interest/enthusiasm for the subject;
- c. emphasize and encourage student participation, ask questions, frequently monitor student participation for student learning and teacher effectiveness, are sensitive to student diversity;
- d. emphasize regular feedback to students and reward student learning success;
- e. demonstrate content mastery, discuss current information and divergent points of view, relate topics to other disciplines, deliver material at the appropriate level;
- f. regularly develop new courses, workshops and seminars and use a variety of methods of instructional delivery and instructional design;
- g. may receive prizes and awards for excellence in teaching;

H. DEVELOP EFFECTIVE CURRICULAR MATERIALS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION;

I. MAY ENGAGE IN DIVERSE INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES SUCH AS TEACHING AT RURAL OR BRANCH CAMPUSES, TEACHING DISTANCE DELIVERED COURSES, TEACHING IN SUMMER SCHOOLS, AND DEVELOPMENT OF CURRICULUM MATERIALS FOR COURSES SUCH AS THOSE UNIQUELY SUITED TO ALASKAN COMMUNITIES;

J. MAY INVOLVE UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN RESEARCH OR INTERNSHIP ACTIVITIES;

K. ARE EFFECTIVE IN ADVISING, MENTORING AND RECRUITING STUDENTS.

2. Components of Evaluation

Effectiveness in teaching *AND OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES* will be

evaluated through information on formal and informal teaching, course and curriculum material, recruiting and advising, training/guiding graduate students, etc., provided by:

- a. systematic student ratings, i.e. student opinion of instruction summary forms, and at least two of the following:
- b. narrative self-evaluation,
- c. peer/department chair classroom *OR* observation(s) *IN ALTERNATIVE SETTINGS*,
- d. peer/department chair evaluation of course materials.

C. Criteria for Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activity

Inquiry and originality are central functions of a land grant/sea grant/space grant university and all faculty with a research component in their assignment must remain active as scholars. Consequently, faculty are expected to conduct research or engage in other scholarly or creative pursuits that are appropriate to the mission of their unit, and equally important, results of their work must be disseminated through media appropriate to their discipline. Furthermore, it is important to emphasize the distinction between routine production and creative excellence as evaluated by an individual's peers at the University of Alaska and elsewhere.

1. Achievement in Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity

Whatever the contribution, research, scholarly or creative activities must have one or more of the following characteristics:

- a. They must occur in a public forum.
- b. They must be evaluated by appropriate peers.
- c. They must be evaluated by peers external to this institution so as to allow an objective judgment.
- d. They must be judged to make a contribution.

E. THEY MUST IDENTIFY AND EXPLORE NEW RESEARCH PROBLEMS IN THE DISCIPLINE AND/OR CRITICALLY EXAMINE EXISTING RESEARCH PROBLEMS TO PROVIDE NEW INSIGHTS;

F. THEY MUST DEVELOP NEW METHODS, THEORIES OR APPROACHES TO RESEARCH PROBLEMS IN THE DISCIPLINE;

G. THEY MUST DEMONSTRATE GROWTH IN KNOWLEDGE OF THE DISCIPLINE OR GROWTH IN EMPIRICAL AND/OR CRITICAL RESEARCH ABILITIES.

H. THEY MUST PARTICIPATE WITH OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THEIR DISCIPLINE TO IDENTIFY REAL WORLD PROBLEMS AND POSE SOLUTIONS.

2. Components of Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity

Evidence of excellence in research, scholarly, and creative activity may be demonstrated through, but not limited to:

- a. Books, reviews, monographs, bulletins, articles, proceedings, *case studies* and other scholarly works published by reputable journals, scholarly presses, and publishing houses that accept works only after rigorous review and approval by peers in the discipline.
- b. Competitive grants and contracts to finance the development of ideas, these grants and contracts being subject to rigorous peer review and approval.
- c. Presentation of research papers before learned societies that accept papers only after rigorous review and approval by peers.
- d. Exhibitions of art work at galleries, selection for these exhibitions being based on rigorous review and approval by juries, recognized artists, or critics.
- e. Performances in recitals or productions, selection for these performances being based on stringent auditions and approval by appropriate judges.
- f. Scholarly reviews of publications, art works and performance of the candidate.
- g. Citations of research in scholarly publications.
- h. Published abstracts of research papers.
- i. Reprints or quotations of publications, reproductions of art works, and descriptions of interpretations in the performing arts, these materials appearing in reputable works of the discipline.
- j. Prizes and awards for excellence of scholarship.
- k. Awards of special fellowships for research or artistic activities or selection of tours of duty at special institutes for advanced study.
- l. Development of processes or instruments useful in solving problems, such as computer programs and systems for the processing of data, genetic plant and animal material, and where appropriate obtaining patents and/or copyrights for

said development.

M.. POLICY EVALUATION, LAW REVIEW AND CASE STUDIES RESEARCH;

N. MANAGERIAL CONSULTATION:

- 1. PROBLEM DIAGNOSIS;*
- 2. POLICY DEVELOPMENT;*
- 3. PROGRAM EVALUATION;*
- 4. SEMINARS TO SEED PRACTITIONER EXPERIMENTATION AND*
- 5. NEW PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING AND EVALUATION*

O. JOINT PROJECT WITH STUDENTS (e.g., URSA, CASE STUDIES FROM STUDENT PRACTITIONERS, FORCE MULTIPLIERS, MENTORING, ETC.) ;

P. SPEAKING AT CONFERENCES, PRACTITIONER CONFERENCES, NATIVE CORPORATION MEETINGS AND SEMINARS, ETC.

Q. DEVELOPMENT OF CONFERENCES ATTRACTIVE TO PRACTITIONERS, ACADEMICS AND THE PUBLIC (E.G., VILLAGES, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER JUSTICE PROFESSIONALS);

R. MEDIATION, ARBITRATION AND OTHER ADR PRACTICES;

S. BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE FOR NEW COURSES AND PROGRAMS.

D. Criteria for Public and University Service

Public service is intrinsic to the land grant/sea grant/space grant tradition, and is a fundamental part of the university's obligation to the people of its state. In this tradition, faculty providing their professional expertise for the benefit of the university's external constituency, free of charge, is identified as "public service." The tradition of the university itself provides that its faculty assumes a collegial obligation for the internal functioning of the institution; such service is identified as "university service."

1. Public Service

Public service is the application of teaching, research, and other scholarly and creative activity to constituencies outside the University of Alaska Fairbanks. It includes all activities which extend the faculty member's professional, academic, or leadership competence to these constituencies. It can be instructional, collaborative, or consultative in nature and is related to the faculty member's discipline or other publicly recognized expertise. Public service may be systematic activity that involves planning with clientele and delivery of information on a continuing, programmatic basis. It may also be

informal, individual, professional contributions to the community or to one's discipline, or other activities in furtherance of the goals and mission of the university and its units. Such service may occur on a periodic or limited-term basis.

Examples include, but are not limited to:

- a. Providing information services to adults or youth.
- b. Service on or to government or public committees.
- c. Service on accrediting bodies.
- d. Active participation in professional organizations.
- e. Active participation in discipline-oriented service organizations.
- f. Consulting.
- g. Prizes and awards for excellence in public service.
- h. Leadership of or presentations at workshops, conferences, or public meetings.
- i. Training and facilitating.
- j. Radio and TV programs, newspaper articles and columns, publications, newsletters, films, computer applications, teleconferences and other educational media.
- k. Judging and similar educational assistance at science fairs, state fairs, and speech, drama, literary, and similar competitions.
- l. ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING ON AND CONTRIBUTING TO THE WORK OF PUBLIC AND/OR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES.*
- m. SUMMARIZING AND PRESENTING KNOWLEDGE IN THE DISCIPLINE FOR THOSE OUTSIDE OF UAF.*
- n. APPLYING THEORIES OR FINDINGS OF THE DISCIPLINE IN PUBLIC SERVICE.*
- o. FIELD INSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION DELIVERY OF SKILLS TO ALASKA'S WORKFORCE.*

2. University Service

University service includes those activities involving faculty members in the

governance, administration, and other internal affairs of the university, its colleges, schools, and institutes. It includes non-instructional work with students and their organizations.

Examples of such activity include, but are not limited to:

- a. Service on university, college, school, institute, or departmental committees or governing bodies.
- b. Consultative work in support of university functions, such as expert assistance for specific projects *OR EFFORTS ACKNOWLEDGED TO BE IN THE UNIVERSITY-WIDE INTERESTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA, e.g. DIVERSITY, EQUAL OPPORTUNITY OR STUDENT SUCCESS*.
- c. Service as department chair or term-limited and part-time assignment as assistant/associate dean in a college/school.
- d. Participation in accreditation reviews.
- e. Service on collective bargaining unit committees or elected office.
- f. Service in support of student organizations and activities.
- g. Academic support services such as library and museum programs.
- h. Assisting other faculty or units with curriculum planning and delivery of instruction, such as serving as guest lecturer.
- i. Mentoring *OF STUDENTS AND NEW FACULTY*.
- j. Prizes and awards for excellence in university service.
- k. *ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING ON AND CONTRIBUTING TO THE WORK OF COLLEGE, UAF, AND STATEWIDE COMMITTEES, PANELS, TASK FORCES, ETC.*
- l. *EXHIBITING LEADERSHIP AND MANAGERIAL EFFECTIVENESS IN POSITION IN THE DEPARTMENT, COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA FAIRBANKS, AND STATEWIDE, e.g. PLANNING ACTIVITIES AND BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE FOR NEW COURSES, PROGRAMS, MEDIATION CENTER, ETC.*
- m. *SUPPORT FOR URSA INITIATIVES INCLUDING JOINT PROJECTS WITH STUDENTS, e.g. CASE STUDIES BY STUDENT PRACTITIONERS, FORCE MULTIPLIERS, MENTORING, ETC.*

- n. ASSISTING IN THE DESIGN OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEM; PROVIDE MEDIATION SUPPORT; CONDUCT MEDIATIONS.*
- o. COORDINATING SPECIALIZED COURSE DELIVERY METHODS FOR STUDENTS IN RURAL ALASKA. INTENSIVE ADVISING & SUPPORT.*

3. Professional Service

Examples of such activity include, but are not limited to:

- a. Editing or refereeing *REVIEWS, CASE STUDIES*, articles or proposals for professional journals or organizations.
- b. Active participation in professional organizations.
- c. Active participation in discipline-oriented service organizations *OR ORGANIZATIONS CLOSELY RELATED TO THE DISCIPLINE.*
- d. Committee chair or officer of professional organizations.
- e. Organizer, session organizer, *PRESENTER, SPEAKER* or moderator for professional meetings, *PRACTITIONER CONFERENCES AND SEMINARS AND OTHER NON-TRADITIONAL VENUES SUCH AS NATIVE CORPORATION MEETINGS.*
- f. Service on a national or international review panel or committee.
- g. *MANAGERIAL CONSULTATION WHICH MAY INCLUDE PROBLEM DIAGNOSIS, POLICY DEVELOPMENT, PROGRAM EVALUATION, NEW PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING AND EVALUATION AND SEMINARS TO SEED PRACTITIONER EXPERIMENTATION.*
- h. *DEVELOPING CONFERENCES ATTRACTIVE TO PRACTITIONERS, ACADEMICS AND THE PUBLIC, e.g. VILLAGES, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER JUSTICE PROFESSIONALS.*
- i. *MEDIATION, ARBITRATION AND OTHER ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES PROVIDED TO COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS.*

4. Evaluation of Service

Each individual faculty member's proportionate responsibility in service shall be reflected in annual workload agreements. In formulating criteria, standards and indices for evaluation, promotion, and tenure, individual units should include examples of service activities and measures for evaluation appropriate for that unit. Excellence in public and university service may be demonstrated through

relevant means, e.g., appropriate letters of commendation, recommendation, and/or appreciation, certificates and awards and other public means of recognition for services rendered.

A. HONORS AND AWARDS FOR PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE WITHIN AND OUTSIDE THE UNIVERSITY, INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS.

B. INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN APPLIED AND DISTANCE DELIVERED PROGRAMS SCHOLARLY, RESEARCH OR CREATIVE ACTIVITY AND SERVICE.