## SUGGESTED REVISIONS TO PROGRAM REVIEW - FOR DISCUSSION (ADDITIONS IN BOLD ITALICS; DELETIONS CROSSED OUT.)

Background: Given the potential for program elimination during the ongoing budget crisis, and the need for establishing a clear process, a meeting took place with Vice-Provost

Alex Fitts, Provost Susan Henrichs, and the chairs of several Faculty Senate committees. At this meeting revised language that clarifies the role of the Faculty Senate in program deletions was discussed. The proposed revised program review process (below) is a result of that meeting and subsequent changes proposed by FAC and endorsed by CAC with additional CAC changes.

Eileen Harney's suggestions in Blue (for CAC meeting on 11/14/16). Anahita's 11-18-16 suggestions in brown. Sine, Rainer, and Eileen's suggestions on 11-21-16 in pink.

The **new** program review process will shall be completed as follows:

- 1. An initial **brief** review based on centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary and a unit provided **two-page brief** narrative describing mission centrality, the prospective market for graduates, the existence of similar programs elsewhere at UA, and any special circumstances that explain features of the centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary (see attached program review template for more details). The information reviewed meets the Board of Regents Policy and Regulation (10.06; attached). A single Faculty Program Review Committee **shall be** comprised of one **tenured** faculty representative **selected by the Faculty Senate** from each college and school (not including CRCD) plus **five CRCD representatives** one **representative from CRCD and one representative from CTC.** The Faculty Program Review Committee shall be **selected** nominated by the **Provost** in consultation with the deans and directors, and, once formed, the list of committee members shall be **submitted** to the Faculty Senate for approval. The Faculty Program Review Committee shall will review the materials and make the following recommendations:
- Continue program
- Continue program but improve outcomes assessment process and reporting
- Continue program but improve other specific areas
- Modify program through consolidation with another program or other significant re-organization
- Suspend admissions to program or
- Discontinue program

The Faculty Program Review Committee shall allow up to two representatives from the program under review to attend the meeting and to answer questions. These representatives shall be invited to provide a brief verbal statement to summarize the program's report to address inconsistencies in reported data. The Faculty Program Review Committee will shall provide a brief narrative justifying their recommendation and describe any areas needing improvement prior to the next review. A summary of tThe recommendation shall be shared with the Faculty Senate President, who may request a copy of the full narrative. The findings of the Faculty Program Review Committee shall be confidential unless or until otherwise requested by the program under review. The Faculty Senate President, in consultation with members of the Faculty Senate Administrative Committee, then who has the option to send a response to the Provost respond-within two weeks.

- 2. An Administrative Program Review Committee comprised of the Deans of Colleges and Schools and four administrative representatives from CRCD will-shall review the recommendations of the Faculty Program Review Committee, may request additional information from about the program, and will shall state their collective agreement or disagreement with the Committee's recommendation.
- 3. The Provost, in consultation with the Chancellor's Cabinet, will shall review the recommendations of the Faculty Program Review Committee, the Faculty Senate President, and the Administrative Program Review Committee and take one of the following actions:
- a) Program continuation is confirmed until the next review cycle.
- b) Program continuation with an action plan prepared by the program and Dean to meet improvements needed by the next review cycle. Annual progress reports will be required in some cases. Actions may also include further review by an ad hoc committee.
- c) Other actions, such as a major program restructuring. An action plan will shall be required by the end of the next regular academic semester after a request for restructuring or similar action is made.
- d) Recommend to discontinue program. Program deletion will require Faculty Senate

action. However, w- When appropriate, admissions may be suspended pending action.

4. Faculty Senate reviews the recommendations to discontinue or suspend programs and states their collective agreement or disagreement with the Provost's recommendation. If the Faculty Senate disagrees, it will shall provide an alternate recommendation. Program deletion shall require a Faculty Senate vote. Both the alternate recommendation and the vote shall take place by the end of the semester in which the Provost's recommendation is made.

**Comment [EH1]:** There should be an "a" here, correct? or "a vote by Faculty Senate"?

5. The Chancellor reviews all levels of recommendations and decides whether to recommend program discontinuation to the Board of Regents.